

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08.03.2021

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.N.PRAKASH
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.SIVAGNANAM

H.C.P.No.1660 of 2020

Nirmala,
W/o.Suresh

.. Petitioner/Wife of the detenue

Vs.

1. State of TamilNadu,
Represented by its Secretary,
to Government, Home,
Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-9
2. The District Collector and
District Magistrate
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai District, Thiruvannamalai.
4. The Inspector of Police,
P.E.W. Thiruvannamalai Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.
5. The Superintendent
Central Prison,
Vellore.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the records of the detention order made in D.O.No.91/2020-C2, dated 26.08.2020 passed by the District Collector and District Magistrate, Thiruvannamalai District, Thiruvannamalai the second respondent herein and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenue before this Court and set the detenue Thiru. Suresh, Son of Kasampu, aged 38 years now confined in Central Prison, Vellore at liberty and set him.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Balakrishnan
For Respondents : Mr.R.Prathap Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by V.SIVAGNANAM.]

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu, Suresh, male, aged about 38 years, son of Kasampu. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by its order dated 26.08.2020 in D.O.No.91/2020-C2, holding him to be a "Bootlegger", as contemplated under Section 2(b) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.

5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 26.08.2020. The petitioner made a representation on 14.09.2020. Thereafter, remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority on 18.09.2020. The remarks were duly received on 11.11.2020. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 17.11.2020.

6. It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 54 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority, of which 19 days were Government Holidays and hence

there was an inordinate delay of 35 days in submitting the remarks. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the remarks were received on 11.11.2020 and there was a delay of 5 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which 2 days were Government Holidays, hence, there was inordinate delay of 3 days in considering the representation.

7. In *Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu* (2011 (5) SCC 244), the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.

8. In *Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government* (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145), a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In *Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others*, reported in 1980 (2) SCC 321, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 35 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 3 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

11. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in D.O.No.91/2020-C2 dated 26.08.2020, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz. Suresh, male, aged about 38 years, son of Kasampu, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

nsd/vsn

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-9
2. The District Collector and
District Magistrate
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai District, Thiruvannamalai.
4. The Inspector of Police,
P.E.W. Thiruvannamalai Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.
5. The Superintendent
Central Prison, Vellore.
6. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.
7. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.1660 of 2020

SSN(CO)
CSR 26.03.2021



WEB COPY