



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01.11.2021

Coram

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH
and
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

H.C.P.No.1139 of 2021

Lakshmi

W/o.Anbukarasan

..Petitioner/Mother of the detenu

Vs.

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The District Magistrate & District Collector,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.
4. The Superintendent,
Central Prison II, Puzhal,
Chennai - 66.
5. The Inspector of Police,
Gummidipoondi Circle,
Tiruvallur District.

.. Respondents

Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the records relating to detention order passed by the second respondent against the detenu dated 24.03.2021 in BCDFGISSSV No.35 of 2021 under 2(e) of Tamilnadu Act 14 of 1982, as a Drug Offender and and set aside the same and produce the detenu Vikram s/o.Anbukarasan, aged about 24 years, who is now detained at Central Prison II, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Court and set him at liberty.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Nirmal Krishnan
For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by S.SRIMATHY, J]

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu viz., Vikram s/o.Anbukarasan, aged about 24 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in BCDFGISSSV No.35 of 2021 dated 24.03.2021, holding him to be a "Drug Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(e) of the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.

5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 24.03.2021. The petitioner made a representation dated Nil. Thereafter, remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority on 27.04.2021. The remarks were duly received on 21.05.2021. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 26.07.2021.

6. It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 23 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining



Authority, of which 7 days were Government Holidays and hence, there was an inordinate delay of 16 days in submitting the remarks. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the remarks were received on 21.05.2021 and there was a delay of 8 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Home, Prohibition and Excise Department after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which 2 days were Government holidays. Hence, there was inordinate delay of 22 days in considering the representation.

7. In *Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu* (2011 (5) SCC 244), the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.

8. In *Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government* (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145), a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In *Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others*, reported in 1980 (2) SCC 321, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 16 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 6 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Home, Prohibition and Excise Department. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in BCDFGISSSV No.35 of 2021 dated 24.03.2021 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Vikram s/o.Anbukarasan, aged about 24 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar



1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The District Magistrate & District Collector,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.
4. The Superintendent,
Central Prison II, Puzhal,
Chennai - 66.
5. The Inspector of Police,
Gummidipoondi Circle,
Tiruvallur District.
6. The Joint Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.
7. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.1139 of 2021

GPL (CO)
PR (30/11/2021)