



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Ninth day of July Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.13180 of 2021

Y.JOHN DAVID PAUL

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP.BY

[RESPONDENT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
T-11, THIRUNINRAVUR POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.
CRIME NO.639 OF 2021.

For Petitioner : M/S.E.SOUNDAR RAJAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 336, 427, 392, 397 and 506(2) of IPC, in Crime No.639 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. This is the four anticipatory bail application before this Court. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused person had waylaid the defacto complainant and demand money with knife point and snatched a sum of Rs.500/- from him. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that earlier one application was dismissed on merits by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.5742 of 2021 dated 08.04.2021 and other two applications were dismissed as withdrawn. He further submits that there are three previous cases pending as against the petitioner. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that there are three previous cases pending against the petitioner and there is no change in circumstances to consider the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
29/07/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
T-11, THIRUNINRAVUR POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. E.SOUNDAR RAJAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.13180/2021

Date :29/07/2021

TA-13/08/2021