



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Second day of August Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12984 of 2021

A.ARAVIN PRABHU

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.
CR NO. 17/2018

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.D.PRABU Advocate

For Respondent : MR. C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 120(B), 406, 408 and 420 of IPC, in Crime No.17 of 2018, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons had collected a sum of Rs.53,00,000/- from several persons for the purpose of secure job. Thereafter, the petitioner along with other accused persons neither secured job nor returned the money, which led to the filing of the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that all the amounts were received by A1. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the petitioner along with other accused persons had collected a sum of Rs.53,00,000/- from several persons for the purpose of secure job. Thereafter, the petitioner along with other accused persons neither secured job nor returned the money. It is further submitted that investigation is still pending. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering the fact that large amounts of money is involved and that the petitioner had cheated under the guise of job racket and also taking into consideration the fact that investigation is still going on, enlarging the petitioner on anticipatory bail at this point of time, when the offence is serious in nature and there is likelihood of the petitioner indulging in activities which would be prejudicial for investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
02/08/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.D.PRABU Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.12984/2021

Date :02/08/2021

INBA 19/08/2021