



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.07.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Crl.O.P.No.12946 of 2021

Pethamma

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Represented by
Inspector of Police,
P.E.W. Mayiladuthurai Police Station,
Nagapattinam District.
(Crime No.804 of 2019).

...Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of her arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.804 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Vinoth

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 4 (1) (aaa) and 4(1-A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act in Cr.No.804 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 300 litres of Pondy Arrack and the same was seized by the respondent police.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- for the purpose of improving and maintaining the Government Schools.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that there are two previous cases pending against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering facts and circumstances of the case and there are two previous cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Tharangampadi**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) if the petitioner failed to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) the petitioner shall make a non-refundable deposit of **Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only) to the credit of the Chief Educational Officer, Nagapattinam District for the rehabilitation and improvement of the basic needs of the Government Schools in the said District under necessary acknowledgement**, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and submit the proof of payment of the said amount before the aforesaid learned Judicial Magistrate, who after perusing the challan/ receipt/acknowledgement, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(c) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(d) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



(h)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

7. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered and the Chief Educational Officer shall submit the necessary proof with regard to the expenditure incurred for the improvement/rehabilitation of the Schools in the District before the Director of School Education as and when such works are completed by utilizing the money as ordered by this Court.

-sd/-
28/07/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THARANGAMPADI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
NAGAPATTINAM. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
P.E.W. MAIYALADUTHURAI POLICE STATION,
NAGAPATTINAM DISTRICT.

5 THE CHIEF EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
NAGAPATTINAM DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S M.VINOTH Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO.7922

CRL OP.12946/2021

Date :28/07/2021

TA-05/08/2021