

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 05.01.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA  
and  
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.CHANDRASEKHARAN

CMP.No.13716 of 2020 in STA SR.No.48983 of 2018

T.P.Kuraikose

... Petitioner

-vs-

1. The State of Tamil Nadu  
rep. by the Collector of Nilgiris,  
Collectorate, Ootacamund,  
The Nilgiris.
  2. Settlement Officer  
(Gudalur Janmam Lands),  
Collectorate, Ootacamund,  
The Nilgiris.
  3. The District Forest Officer,  
Gudalur Division, Gudalur,  
The Nilgiris.
  4. The Tahsildar,  
Taluk Office, Pandalur,  
The Nilgiris.
  5. Sri Subramaniam Namboothirpad,  
Naduvathumana Vandoor Post,  
Malapuram District, Kerala.
- ... Respondents

Civil Miscellaneous Petition filed under Order 4 Rule 9 (4) of A.S. Rules praying to condone the delay of 598 days in representation of STA.SR.No.48983 of 2018.

Prayer in STA. Special Tribunal Appeal filed under Section 41 of the Gudalur Jenmam Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act (XXIV of 1969) praying to allow this Appeal and set aside the order dated 27/02/2018 passed in CMA.No. 27/2009 on the file of the District Judge and Janmam Estates Abolition Tribunal of the Nilgiris at Udhagamandalam and consequently setaside the order of the settlement Officer (Gudalur Janmam Lands), Udhagamandalam in RCV.57971/2007 dated 05.01.2009 rejecting Ryotwari Patta to the Appellant and direct grant of Ryotwari Patta.

For Petitioner : Mr.John Zachariah

For Respondents: ...

ORDER

The present Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed seeking to condone the huge and unexplained delay of 598 days in representing the STA.SR.No.48983 of 2018.

2. Mr.John Zachariah, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that after the Settlement Officer, Gudalur Janmam Lands, Collectorate, Ootacamund, The Nilgiris, the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent herein, passed an order dated 05.01.2009 rejecting the request of the petitioner to grant Ryotwari Patta under Section 9 of the Tamil Nadu Gudalur Janmam Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 24/69 in respect of R.S.No.250/pt having an extent of 8.48 acres and 251/pt having an extent of 2.76 acres total extent of 11.24 acres in Nelliylam Village-II, Pandalur Taluk, The Nilgiris District under Section 12(1) of the Act, an appeal was filed in CMA.No.27/2018 on 25.05.2009 before the District Judge of Nilgiris and the Jenmam Estate Abolition Tribunal at Ootacamund, The Nilgiris, who also after considering the case of the petitioner, while confirming the order dated 05.01.2009 passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent Settlement Officer, dismissed the appeal filed by the petitioner herein by the impugned judgment and decree dated 27.02.2018. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner has been advised to file further appeal before this Court. Accordingly, the present Statutory Appeal was filed before this Court.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner would further submit that after the dismissal of the appeal by the District Judge of Nilgiris and the Jenmam Estate Abolition Tribunal at Ootacamund, The Nilgiris, a Statutory Appeal has to be filed within 90 days. Accordingly, this STA.SR.48983/2018 has been filed on 28.06.2018 before this Court, but the same was returned by the Registry on 25.07.2018 which has to be represented curing of the defects on or before 05.08.2018. However, since the issue regarding the lands falling within the ambit of Section 17 of Gudalur Jenmam Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1969 is being agitated by the Association in which the petitioner is also one of the members before the Apex Court in W.P.(C ) No.202/1995 and the issue was also pending before the Apex Court, it was felt that the orders passed by the Apex Court would have a direct bearing on any appeal that may be filed before this Court, therefore, the petitioner did not take any steps to cure the defects and bring the matter for hearing before this Court. In the meanwhile, 598 days in representing the appeal has occurred. Therefore, the delay is neither willful nor wanton, but only due to the aforesaid reason.

Hence, the delay of 598 days in representing the appeal before this Court may be condoned, it is pleaded. Learned Counsel would also submit that if this Court feels that with any terms, the delay may be condoned, the petitioner would also abide by the same.

4. But we are unable to find any sufficient cause to condone the huge and unexplained delay of 598 days in representing the above appeal. The reason being that if it is a simple or reasonable delay in representing the appeal, this Court, in usual course always condones the delay. Delay in representation is also equivalent to delay in filing appeal, therefore, sufficient cause shall be given in the affidavit for condoning the delay. This Court also invariably by mere asking, condones the delay in representation, if it is a reasonable delay of 100 days or even 200 days. But beyond limit, we cannot condone any huge and unexplained delay. The Hon'ble Apex Court in *Municipal Council, Ahmednagar vs. Shah Hyder Baig* [1999 Supp (5) SCR 197], held that the doctrine of 'delay defeats justice and equity' in the matter of grant of relief shall be borne in mind while entertaining the application for delay, for, discretionary relief can be provided to the deserving parties who do not sleep over their rights. Equity favours a vigilant rather than an indolent citizen. This being the tenet of law, condoning the huge delay of 598 days would cause prejustice to other side. Therefore, in the present case, when no sufficient cause has been shown to condone the huge and unexplained delay of 598 days in representing the above appeal, we are not inclined to condone the long delay.

5. In the result, the Civil Miscellaneous Petition fails and the same is accordingly dismissed. Consequently, connected STA.SR.No.48983 of 2018 is rejected.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Tsi

To

1. The State of Tamil Nadu  
rep. by the Collector of Nilgiris,  
Collectorate, Ootacamund,  
The Nilgiris.
2. The Settlement Officer  
(Gudalur Janmam Lands),  
Collectorate, Ootacamund,  
The Nilgiris.

3. The District Forest Officer,  
Gudalur Division, Gudalur,  
The Nilgiris.

4. The Tahsildar,  
Taluk Office, Pandalur,  
The Nilgiris.

+1cc to Mr.Foxmandal & Associates, Advocate, S.R.No. 261

CMP.No.13716 of 2020 in  
STA SR.No.48983 of 2018

PM(CO)  
GN(04/02/2021)



WEB COPY