



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Second day of August Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12780 of 2021

K.CHENNAKRISHNAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION
MUNICIPAL BUILDING,
NEAR ARMED RESERVE POLICE,
KOMARASAMPATTI, SALEM-7

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : MR.C.SELVARAJ Advocate for
M/S.C.S.ASSOCIATES

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH , Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 13(2) r/w. 13(1)(e) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, in Cr.No.FIR/02/AC/2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, during the check period from 01.01.2011 to 31.08.2017, is alleged to have been found in possession Rs.98,31,630/-, which amount is disproportionate to his known sources of income. Thereby, the petitioner had committed the offence of criminal misconduct by intentionally enriching himself illicitly during the tenure of his office.

3.The learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner has been continuously involved in the labour activities and is posted as General Secretary in Anna Labour Union in Salem District. Hence, lots of rivalries developed and the case came to be registered. He would further submit that the allegation pertains to acquisition of assets and during investigation, no material evidence was found in the



custody of the petitioner, hence, arrest is not necessary and prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the investigation is at the preliminary stage. He further submitted that earlier, the Bank locker of the petitioner was seized, however, he threatened the Bank Officials, who co-operated for investigation and further submitted that if anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, he will tamper the witnesses.

5. Considering the allegation levelled as against the petitioner and the fact that he threatened the Bank Officials who shared information to the law enforcing agency, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. This criminal original petition is accordingly dismissed.

-sd/-

02/08/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VIGILANCE AND ANTI
CORRUPTION MUNICIPAL BUILDING,
NEAR ARMED RESERVE POLICE,
KOMARASAMPATTI, SALEM-7

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S.C.S.ASSOCIATES Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.8029

CRL OP.12780/2021

Date :02/08/2021

CSK 09/08/2021