



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Friday, the Sixteenth day of July Two Thousand Twenty One

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12337 of 2021

MANI

[ PETITIONER / ACCUSED ]

Vs

STATE BY  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
SIPCOT POLICE STATION,  
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.  
(CRIME NO.702/2021)

[ RESPONDENT ]

For Petitioner : M/S M.JAYACHANDRAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR. A. GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 364(A) of IPC, in Crime No.702 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the the husband of the defacto complainant petitioner is employed under the petitioner for some time and there was some money dispute between them. Thereafter, with the help of other accused, they abducted the husband defacto complainant and demanded money. Since, he refused to give, the petitioner have taken the ATM Card of the defacto complainant and purchased gold jewels. Hence, the complaint was registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that the husband of the defacto complainant petitioner is employed under the petitioner for some time and there was some money dispute between them. Thereafter, with the help of other accused, the petitioner



abducted the husband defacto complainant and demanded money. Since, he refused to give, they have taken the ATM Card of the defacto complainant and purchased gold jewels. He further submits that there are two previous cases pending against the petitioner. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts of the case and the nature of offence committed by the petitioner and there are two previous cases pending the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-  
16/07/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
SIPCOT POLICE STATION,  
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S M.JAYACHANDRAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.12337/2021

Date :16/07/2021

INBA 26/07/2021