



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26.07.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Crl.O.P.No.12285 of 2021

& Crl.MP.No.7140 of 2021

1. Naveenkumar
2. Sundaram
3. Balan @ Balakrishnan
4. Kuppusamy

...Petitioners

Vs.

The State Represented by
Inspector of Police,
Vellakovil Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
(Crime No.794 of 2021)

... Respondent

M.PERIYASAMY [PETITIONER /INTERVENOR]
[ORDERED AS PER ORDER OF THIS COURT
DATED 26/07/2021 MADE IN CRL.MP.NO.7140/2021
IN CRL.OP.NO.12285/201]

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C, prayed to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.794 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.C.Ramkumar
For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl side)
For Intervenor : Mr. N.Ponraj

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.794 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a some dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, as a result of which, the petitioners had abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked him and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint was registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending as against the petitioners. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.


5.The learned counsel for the intervenor/defacto complainant submits that the petitioners had attacked the defacto complainant and his family members and caused injuries. Hence, he strongly opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6.Considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Kangeyam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) if the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



(c) the petitioners shall stay at Tiruppur and report before the Inspector of Police, Town Police Station, Tiruppur, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

26/07/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
COURT, KANGEYAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUPPUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.



4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VELLAKOVIL POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

5 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TOWN POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR.

+1 CC to M/S. C.RAMKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.No.7789

CRL OP.12285/2021
& CRL.MP.7140/2021

Date :26/07/2021

cs 02/08/2021