



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION)

FRIDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF JUNE 2021

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.PARTHIBAN

C.S. No.317 of 2019

and

O.A. No.515 of 2020

Sandeep Pappula,
Aged about 28,
s/o.P.Narsing Raj,
No.11, Boat Club,
1st Main Road,
Chennai 600028.

... Plaintiff/Applicant

-Versus-

Sajini Ramesh,
Aged about 25,
D/o. unknown,
No.12, Raghavaveera Avenue,
Poes Garden,
Chennai 600086.

... Defendant/Respondent

C.S. No.317 of 2019

Civil Suit praying that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

a. Direct the defendant to pay a sum of Rs.1,00,00,000/- (Rs. One Crore Only) as damages to the plaintiff for the defamation of the plaintiff on social media or through other means;

b. Permanent injunction restraining the defendant, her agents or anyone acting on the defendant's behalf from posting any posts, articles,



messages, pictures or graphical representation of any nature that are defamatory, derogatory or in the nature of a threat against the plaintiff, in social media websites, applications or any other forms of media, websites or blogs against the plaintiff and institution, proprietorship or any person or Company that the plaintiff is associated with;

c. Mandatory injunction directing the defendant to issue a public apology in any national newspaper for defamatory and derogatory posts against the plaintiff;

d. Costs of the present suit in favour of the plaintiff.

O.A. No.515 of 2020

Original Application praying that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to grant an order of ad-interim injunction restraining the Respondent, her agents or anyone acting on the Respondent's behalf from posting any posts, articles, messages, pictures or graphical representation of any nature that are defamatory, derogatory or in the nature of a threat against the Applicant, in social media websites, applications or any other forms of media, websites or blogs against the Applicant and institution, proprietorship or any person or Company that the Applicant is associated with, pending disposal of the present suit.

This suit along with the Application coming on this day before this



Krishnan, Advocate for the plaintiff in C.S.No.317 of 2019 and for the applicant in O.A.No.515 of 2020 and upon reading the plaint filed in C.S.No.317 of 2019 and the order dated 01.10.2019 made in O.A.No.515 of 2019 and the memo dated 23.06.2021 filed herein, **it is ordered as follows:-**

That the suit in C.S.No.317 of 2019, do stand withdrawn.

2. That a certificate under Section 69 of The Tamil Nadu Court Fees and Suits Valuation Act 1955, do issue herein, out of and under the seal of this Court in favour of Sandeep Pappula, the Plaintiff herein, authorising him to receive from the Pay and Accounts office, High Court, Madras, a sum of Rs.1,03,825/- (Rupees One Lakh Three Thousand Eight Hundred and Twenty Five only) being the entire Court fee paid on the plaint by the plaintiff herein.

3. That these O.A.No.515 of 2020 do stand closed.

4. That there shall be no costs of this suit.

WITNESS THE HON'BLE MR.SANJIB BANERJEE, CHIEF JUSTICE, HIGH COURT AT MADRAS AFORESAID, THIS THE 25th DAY OF JUNE 2021.

Sd./-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (O.S.I)

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2021.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)



KY-04.08.2021

WEB COPY

4

C.S. No.317 of 2019
and
O.A. No.515 of 2020

ORDER:-

DATED : 25.06.2021

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE
V.PARTHIBAN

FOR APPROVAL: 4.8.2021

APPROVED ON: 05.8.2021



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Date: 25.06.2021

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **V.PARTHIBAN**

Civil Suit No.317 of 2019

and

O.A.No.515 of 2020

Sandeep Pappula

...Plaintiff

Vs.

Sajini Ramesh

...Defendants

Suit filed under Order IV Rule 1 of the Madras High Court Original Side Rules, 1956 r/w Order VII Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 praying to:

a. Direct the defendant to pay a sum of Rs.1,00,00,000/- (Rs. One Crore Only) as damages to the plaintiff for the defamation of the plaintiff on social media or through other means;

b. Permanent injunction restraining the defendant, her agents or anyone acting on the defendant's behalf from posting any posts, articles, messages, pictures or graphical representation of any nature that are defamatory, derogatory or in the nature of a threat against the plaintiff, in social media websites, applications or any other forms of media, websites or blogs against the plaintiff and institution, proprietorship or any person or Company that the plaintiff is associated with;

c. Mandatory injunction directing the defendant to issue a public apology in any national newspaper for defamatory and derogatory



posts against the plaintiff;

d. Costs of the present suit in favour of the plaintiff.

For Applicant : Ms.Sella Visalakshi
for Mr.Anirudh Krishnan

ORDER

When the matter is taken up for hearing, on behalf of the plaintiff, a memo has been filed dated 23.06.2021. The contents of the memo are extracted hereunder;

“1.The plaintiff submits that the defendant in the present suit expired in March, 2021. Therefore, the plaintiff seeks to withdraw the present suit since the suit has become infructuous.

2.Furthermore, considering that the present suit has not reached the evidence stage, the plaintiff also humbly prays that the Court fees of Rs.1,03,825/- paid by him may be refunded by this Court.

3.This memo may be taken on record by this Court and suitable order may be passed.”

2.In view of the above reasons, the learned counsel for the plaintiff is

permitted to withdraw the suit and the Registry is directed to return the



Court Fee which was initially paid at the time of filing of the suit.

WEB COPY

3. Accordingly, the present Civil Suit stands withdrawn. No costs.

Consequently, the connected Original Application stands closed.

Sd./- V.P.N.J
25.06.2021

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2021.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)

From 25th Day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.