



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Eighth day of July Two Thousand Twenty One

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.13013 of 2021

M.DEEPAK

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANIMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.219 OF 2021.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. G.BALAMANIKANDAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 324, 326 and 506(ii) of I.P.C in Crime No.219 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused attacked the defacto complainant's son with deadly weapons, in which the defacto complainant's son lost his thumb finger and also suffered severe injuries. Hence, the complaint was registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he sought for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate submitted that due to previous enmity, the petitioner along with other accused person waylaid the defacto complainant's son and assaulted him with deadly weapons, in which the defacto complainant's son lost his thumb finger and also suffered severe injuries. The learned Government Advocate further submitted that the petitioner has nine previous cases similar in



nature. He further submitted that this is the second anticipatory bail application and the earlier anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.7956 of 2021 was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 28.04.2021. Hence he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. In view of the heinous nature of offence and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner has involved in nine previous case and there is no change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
28/07/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANIMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. G.BALAMANIKANDAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.13013/2021

Date :28/07/2021

JPA 09/08/2021