

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Fourteenth day of June Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P. VELMURUGAN

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No.6210 of 2021

IN CRL.A.No.298 of 2021

K.KALIDOSS

[PETITIONER/APPELLANT/ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE

[RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
CHENGALPATTU.
CR.NO.17 OF 2015.

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to

(i) To suspend the sentence imposed against the petitioner in Spl.S.C.No.23 of 2019 on by the life of Spl.Sessions Court for Exclusive Trial of Case under POCSO Act, Chengalpattu (FAC) Chengalpattu District dated 17.12.2020 and enlarge the petitioner on bail pending disposal of the said Crl.A.No.298 of 2021.

Order : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S. S.SENTHILVEL, Advocate for the petitioner, and of MR.S.SUGENDRAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side) on behalf of the Respondent, the court made the following order:-

This miscellaneous petition has been filed seeking suspension of sentence imposed on the petitioner/appellant by judgment dated 17.12.2020 in S.C.No.23 of 2019 by the Spl. Sessions Court for Exclusive trial of case under POCSO Act, Chengalpattu (FAC) Chengalpattu District.

2 The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/appellant would submit that the petitioner is ready to furnish sufficient sureties for his release on bail, he has good ground to succeed the appeal. Hence he prays for suspension of sentence imposed on the petitioner.

3 The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner/accused has criminally intimidated the victim and had sexual intercourse with her repeatedly and thereby committed offence punishable under Sections 450, 354 D and 506(i) IPC and Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offence Act, 2012. Hence, he opposed grant of bail to the petitioner.

4 Heard both sides.

5 It is seen that the petitioner/accused has criminally intimidated the victim and had sexual intercourse with her repeatedly and thereby committed offence punishable under Sections 450, 354 D and 506(i) IPC and Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offence Act, 2012.

6 Considering the serious nature of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. This miscellaneous petition is dismissed accordingly.

-sd/-
14/06/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

सत्यमेव जयते

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE SPECIAL SESSIONS COURT FOR EXCLUSIVE
TRIAL OF CASE UNDER POCSO ACT, CHENGALPATTU
(FAC) CHENGALPATTU DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
CHENGALPATTU

4 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PUZHAL-I, CHENNAI.

5 THE SECTION OFFICER,
CRIMINAL SECTION,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

C.C. to M/S. S.SENTHILVEL Advocate on payment of necessary charges

Order

in
CRL MP.6210/2021
in
CRL A.298/2021

Date :14/06/2021

From 7.2.2001 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the BAIL/Anti.BAIL Orders in this format

TA-22/06/2021



WEB COPY