

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.06.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

CrI.O.P.No.9799 of 2021

Thangamani

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by its,
Inspector of Police,
Periyathatchur Police Station,
Villupuram District
(Crime No.96 of 2021)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C, prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.96 of 2021 in the event of arrest on the file of the respondent Police Station.

For Petitioner : Mr.Om Sairam

For Respondent : Mr.A. Gopinath
Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b) and 307 of IPC in Crime No.96 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant and the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant and thereby he sustained injuries. Hence the law enforcing agency registered the case against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) would submit that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant and thereby he sustained injuries. He would further submit that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Tindivanam on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

14/06/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO


1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.2,
TINDIVANAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VILLUPURAM [FOR INFORMATION].

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERIYATHATCHUR POLICE STATION, VILUPURAM
DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S OM SAIRAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO. 6474



CRL OP.9799/2021

Date :14/06/2021

MN-01/07/2021

WEB COPY