

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.05.2021

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.9575 of 2021

Saravanan, M/A-28 years,
S/o.Udhayasuriyan,
No.5/67, Valluvar Nagar,
Valiyapatti,
Namakkal District.

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Mohanur Police Station,
Namakkal District.
Cr.No.325 of 2021.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in
Crime No.325 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s.W.Camyles Gandhi
For Respondent : Mr.A.Damodaran
Government Advocate
(Crl. side)

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.325 of 2021 seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant and it is alleged that the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned learned Government Advocate would submit that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant and the injured discharged from the hospital. Hence he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of normal functioning of the Court below, (i.e.,) before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Namakkal, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may

obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond/s, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking order
ub

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Namakkal.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Mohanur Police Station,
Namakkal District.


3. The Government Advocate (Crl.side),
Madras High Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

ub



Crl.O.P.No. 9575 of 2021

WEB COPY 26.05.2021