

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.05.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

Cr1.O.P.No.9443 of 2021

Thennarasu

... Petitioner

-vs-

State rep. by

Inspector of Police,

Rathanagiri Police Station,

Ranipet District.

(Crime No.not known of 2021) ... Respondent

Prayer: The Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 438 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory bail in event of the arrest in connection with the case in Crime No.not known of 2021 on the file of the respondent police herein.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Thirumoorthy

For Respondent : Mr.J.C.Duraiaraj,
Government Advocate (Cr1.Side)

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(i) of I.P.C. in Crime No.not known of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a false complaint has been lodged against the petitioner and he has not connected with the crime as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Cr1.Side) would submit that when the petitioner had grazed his cattle in the agricultural lands of the defacto complainant, the same was questioned by him, in which, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto

complainant, thereby, they have manhandled each other. He would also submit that only a petition enquiry is pending against the petitioner in C.S.R.No.187 of 2021 and there is no F.I.R. registered against him. Hence, he would vehemently oppose to grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. This Court considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for all the parties concerned and also perused the F.I.R.

5. The Supreme Court has laid down the law regarding grant of anticipatory bail in the case of Gurbaksh Singh Vs. State (AIR 1980 SC 1632) and considering the fact that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary and the fact that there is no apprehension that the petitioner may abscond, the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Arcot, on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, within a period of one month from the date of normal functioning of the Court below, and further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall appear before the Court below, whenever required.

[e] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure his identity.

[f] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[g] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-
20/05/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARCOT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSEPCTOR OF POLICE,
RATHANIGIRI POLICE STATION,
RANIPET DISTRICT.

CC to M/S S.P.ARTHI Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.9443/2021

Date :20/05/2021

cs 06/07/2021

WEB COPY