



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.05.2021

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CrI.O.P.No.9409 of 2021

Fathimamubeena @ Mubeena

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
District Crime Branch,  
Cuddalore.  
Crime No.10 of 2020

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.10 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. A.Tamilvanan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Damodaran

Government Advocate(Crl side)

### O R D E R

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294 (b), 420 and 406 of Indian Penal Code in Crime No.10 of 2020, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that defacto complainant lent a sum of Rs.47,50,000/- to the first accused who is the husband of the petitioner and the first accused assured him that he would include him as partner in the business. But the first accused failed to do so. When the defacto complainant demanded his amount, the first accused abused him with filthy language and threatened with dire consequences. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and she has nothing to do with the business of her husband/A1 and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and she has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent submitted that, after filing of the FIR, they have received nearly 15 complaints against A1 and this petitioner. As per the F.I.R report, cheated amount comes around Rs.47,00,000/-. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the fact that many complaints have been received subsequent to the FIR against the petitioner and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-  
27/05/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,  
CUDDALORE.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.A.TAMILVANAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.9409/2021

Date :27/05/2021

MK:05/07/2021