

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26.05.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

Crl.O.P.No.9343 of 2021

Imran Barith @ Mandai Imran

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by,

The Inspector of Police,
J-3, Guindy Police Station,
Chennai.

(Crime No.962 of 2019)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C, prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.962 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sarankumar

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

(Through video conference)

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.04.2021 for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 364A, 385 & 506(i) IPC, in Crime No.962 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the the petitioner along with other accused had kidnapped the defacto complainant's son in two wheeler and demanded Rs.10,000/- from him for the release of his son from their custody. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that the petitioner has been in jail from 09.04.2021. Therefore, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would vehemently oppose to grant bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner and the other accused had kidnapped the defacto complainant's son and demanded Rs.10,000/- from him for the

release of his son from their custody. He would submit that there are totally 6 accused in this case and the petitioner is A1 and would submit that 4 previous cases has been pending against him.

5.Taking into consideration, the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and considering the nature of offence and also considering the fact that there are 4 previous cases pending against the petitioner, this Court feels that it is not a fit case to grant bail to the petitioner.

6.This Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed accordingly.

-sd/-

26/05/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
J-3, GUINDY POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.S.SARANKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.9343/2021

Date :26/05/2021

TA-23/06/2021

WEB COPY