



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08.10.2021

Coram

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH
and
THE HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

H.C.P.No.777 of 2021

Hema

W/o.Dhanu (a) Dhamu

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu

represented by its
Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Tiruvallur Taluk Police Station,
Tiruvallur, Tiruvallur District.

5.The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.

.. Respondents

Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the entire records relating to petitioner's husband detention under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 vide detention order dated 19.04.2021 on the file of the second respondent herein made in proceedings BCDFGISSSV No.53/2021 and quash the same as illegal and consequently, direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioner's husband, viz., Dhanu (a) Dhamu s/o.Muthuraman, aged about 45 years, before this Court and set him at liberty, who is now detained at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai - 600 066.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.C.Chellappan

For Respondents: Mr.R.Muniyapparaj

Additional Public Prosecutor



ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by P.N.PRAKASH, J]

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz., Dhanu (a) Dhamu s/o.Muthuraman, aged about 45 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in BCDFGISSSV No.53/2021 dated 19.04.2021, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.

5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 19.04.2021. The petitioner made a representation dated 08.05.2021. Thereafter, remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority on 17.05.2021. The remarks were duly received on 06.07.2021. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 09.08.2021.

6. It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 49 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority, of which 14 days were Government holidays and hence, there was a delay of 35 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the remarks were received on 06.07.2021 and there was a delay of 2 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, P&E, after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it. Hence, there was inordinate delay of 37



days in considering the representation.

7. In *Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu* (2011 (5) SCC 244), the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.

8. In *Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government* (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145), a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In *Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others*, reported in 1980 (2) SCC 321, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 35 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 2 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, P&E. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in BCDGIISSSV No.53/2021 dated 19.04.2021 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Dhanu (a) Dhamu s/o.Muthuraman, aged about 45 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

gm

To

1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.



2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruvallur District,
Tiruvallur.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Tiruvallur Taluk Police Station,
Tiruvallur, Tiruvallur District.

5.The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.

6.The Joint Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.

7.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.777 of 2021

RSI (CO)
GN(21/10/2021)