



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Thirteenth day of August Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.14056 of 2021

S.GUNASEKARAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RANIPET POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.
Crime No.221 of 2020

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. E.KANNADASAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR. C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 406, 420, 468, 471 of IPC, in Crime No.221 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons induced the defacto complainant that he will secure government job and thereby received a sum of Rs.4,70,000/- and thereafter failed to secure the job nor returned the amount. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The learned counsel, on instructions, would further submit that the petitioner, without prejudice to his rights, the petitioner is ready to deposit the amount of Rs.4,70,000/- to the credit of the crime number and also conceded the same may be disbursed to the defacto complainant. However, the learned counsel submitted that before disbursing the amount to the defacto complainant, an affidavit of undertaking may be obtained from the defacto complainant stating that in the event of the petitioner succeeding the case, the amount of Rs.4,70,000/- shall be returned to him.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side).appearing for the respondent submitted that there is no previous case pending as against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner..



5. Considering the fact that there is no previous case pending as against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Ranipet, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.4,70,000/- (Rupees Four lakhs and Seventy Hundred only) to the credit of Cr.No.221 of 2020 before the learned District munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Ranipet, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On such deposit being made, the learned District munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Ranipet, shall obtain an affidavit of undertaking from the defacto complainant stating that in the event of the petitioner succeeding the case, the amount of Rs.4,70,000/- deposited by the petitioner to the credit of Cr.No.221 of 2020 will be returned to the petitioner.

(b) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

© the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
13/08/2021

WEB COPY

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RANIPET.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VELLORE DISTRICT (FOR INFORMATION).

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RANIPET POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S. E.KANNADASAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.8532

CRL OP.14056/2021

Date :13/08/2021

INBA 23/08/2021