

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.05.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.KANNAMMAL

Crl.O.P.No.9035 of 2021

1. Pandiyan
2. Bhaskar

.. Petitioners

Vs.

The State rep. by
Inspector of Police,
Vickramangalam PS
Ariyalur District
Crime No.158 of 2021.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Criminal Procedure Code, praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.158 of 2021 on the file of the respondent/Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Tamilavel

For Respondent : Mr.T.Shunmugarajeswaran
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 21(1) of Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation Act 1957 r/w 379 of IPC, in Crime No.158 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are alleged to have transported 1 unit of Dust Sand (Savudu Manal) using Tractor bearing No.TN61 K 9579 illegally.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent submitted that the quantity of sand involved is 1 unit. He further submitted that no previous pending as against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. In view of the rehabilitation undertaken under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities, the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- each (Rupees fifteen thousand only) as non refundable deposit to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court.

6. Merely, because the petitioners have deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of their guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

7. Taking note of the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, the 1st petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) and the 2nd petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non refundable deposit to the credit of the **concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust** and on such deposit, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Jeyamkondam on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-
12/05/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
JAYANKONDAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
ARIYALUR. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VICKRAMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
ARIYALUR DISTRICT.

5 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST,
ARIYALUR.

CC to M/S P.TAMILAVEL Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.9035/2021

Date :12/05/2021

TA-02/07/2021

WEB COPY