

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13.05.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.KANNAMMAL

Crl.O.P.No.9047 of 2021

1.Anbazhagan
2.Saminathan

.. Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by
Inspector of Police,
Vickramangalam Police Station,
Ariyalur District.
(Crime No.163 of 2021)

.. Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Criminal Procedure Code, praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police in the case pending investigation in Crime No.163 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.C.Prabakaran

For Respondent : Mr.T.Shunmugarajeswaran
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 379 of IPC and Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (R&D) Act, in Crime No.163 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners had transported 1/2 unit of river sand illegally by using a Bullock Cart, without any valid licence. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the quantity of river sand involved is 1/2 unit. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners.

5. In view of the rehabilitation undertaken under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities, the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of Rs.7,500/- (Rupees Seven thousand Five Hundred only) as non refundable deposit to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court.

6. Merely, because the petitioners have deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of their guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

7. Taking note of the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of Rs.7,500/- (Rupees Seven Thousand Five Hundred only) as non refundable deposit to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust and on such deposit the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Ariyalur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

13/05/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, ARIYALUR.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VICKRAMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
ARIYALUR DISTRICT.

4 THE OFFICER INCHARGE
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST,
ARIYALUR.

+1 CC to M/S C.PRABAKARAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.No.6138

CRL OP.9047/2021

Date :13/05/2021

cs 28/05/2021