

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Thursday, the Sixth day of May Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms Justice R.N. MANJULA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.8882 of 2021

C.ARUNACHALAM

[ PETITIONER / ACCUSED ]

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY

[ RESPONDENT ]

INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
KARUMALAIKODAL POLICE STATION,  
SALEM DISTRICT.  
CR.NO.90/2021.

For Petitioner : M/S.C.DEEPAK KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR. T.SHUNMUGARAJESWARAN, Govt. Advocate ( CrI. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 465 and 420 of IPC in Crime No.90 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner in order to grab the Government Promboke land produced a forged enjoyment certificate to the Village Administrative officer. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, the petitioner is ready to co-operate with the investigation and appear before the respondent police as and when required. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) would submit that the petitioner is involved in the act of grabbing the Government Land and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Mettur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

06/05/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
NO.II, METTUR

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
SALEM(FOR INFORMATION)

3 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
KARUMALAIKODAL POLICE STATION,  
SALEM DISTRICT.


4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.C.DEEPAK KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary  
charges SR.6063

CRL OP.8882/2021

Date :06/05/2021

RVR 17/05/2021



WEB COPY