

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Sixth day of May Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms Justice R.N. MANJULA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.8785 of 2021

1 KANDASAMY	[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]
2 MARIMUTHU	
3 LOGANATHAN	

Vs

STATE REP BY	[RESPONDENT]
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,	
SULTHANPET POLICE STATION,	
COIMBATORE DISTRICT.	
CRIME NO.123/2021.	

For Petitioner : M/S M.N.BALAKRISHNAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.T.SHUNMUGARAJESWARAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners (A-1 to A-3), who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.123 of 2021, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The petitioners and the *de facto* complainant are relatives. The case of the prosecution is that due to family quarrel, the petitioners assaulted the *de facto* complainant and abused him in unparliamentary words and they also threatened him. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) would submit that the petitioners attacked the *de facto* complainant and hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the copy of this order is made ready, the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur**, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] **the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.**

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-
06/05/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,

SULUR

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
COIMBATORE. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SULTHANPET POLICE STATION,
COIMBATORE DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S M.N.BALAKRISHNAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.5907

CRL OP.8785/2021

Date :06/05/2021

TA-12/05/2021