



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS  
DATED: 13.07.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

1. W.P.Nos. 11571 and 11577 of 2021  
and  
W.M.P.Nos. 12321 and 12324 of 2021

1. Rathna Packaging Inda Pvt Ltd., Unit II HTSC No.0447  
No.852/2A, Aggondapalli Village, Post,  
Denkanikottai Taluk -635103  
Krishnagiri District.  
Rep. By its Authorised Signatory  
Gnanasekar ..Petitioner in W.P.No.11571 of 2021

2. Rathna Packaging India Pvt Ltd (Unit II) HTSC No.0401  
No. A-55 Sipcot (Phase-1) Industrial Compels,  
Hosur, Krishnagiri District  
Rep. By its Authorised Signatory  
Gnanasekar ..Petitioner in W.P.No.11577 of 2021

Vs

1.The Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution  
Corporation Ltd. (TANGEDCO)  
Rep.by its Chairman & Managing Director,  
10<sup>th</sup> Floor, 144, Anna Salai, Chennai-600002.

2.The Chief Financial Controller/Revenue,  
Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution  
Corporation Ltd. (TANGEDCO)  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor, 144, Anna Salai, Chennai-600002.

3. The Superintending Engineer  
TANGEDCO,  
Krishnagiri Electricity Distribution Circle,  
Krishnagiri ..Respondents in both WPs

Common Prayer: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the Respondents to extend the benefit of the order of this Honble Court dated 14.08.2020 passed in WP No. 7678 of 2020 in a similar batch matter and to apply the order of this Honble Court as per Article 14 of the Constitution of India to the petitioner also and consequently direct the 3rd Respondents to cause to issue the fresh CC bills for the months of March 2020- July 2020 falling in the lockdown periods and also to further direct the Respondents to refund the excessively collected demand charges from the petitioner.



WEB COPY

For Petitioners  
(in both WPs)  
For Respondents  
(in both WPs)

: Mr.S.P.Parthasarathy  
: Mr.Jai Venkatesh,  
Standing Counsel

#### COMMON ORDER

The common grievance of the petitioners in these batch of writ petitions relate to challenge of the levy of Demand Charges by the TANGEDCO in violation of the order passed by the Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission as also in violation of Regulation 6(b) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code, 2004.

2. The issue involved in these writ petitions were already raised in W.P.Nos.7678 of 2020 etc., batch, wherein the learned Judge, after considering the elaborate arguments made by the learned counsels for the parties and also taking into consideration, Regulation 6(b) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code, has allowed the said writ petitions, vide common order dated 14.08.2020, with the following directions:

"45 The above discussion leads this Court to the only conclusion that the maximum demand charges and the compensation charges levied by TANGEDCO against the petitioners who are HT consumers, is illegal, unsustainable and in violation of the statutory regulations. Accordingly, the Maximum Demand Charges and the compensation towards low PF that have been questioned in the impugned bills raised by the TANGEDCO for each of the consumers who are parties in these batch of writ petitions, is hereby quashed. The following directions are also issued by this Court:

a) TANGEDCO shall issue a revised bill to the petitioners by applying Regulation 6(b) of the Supply Code for the entire period when the establishment was under shut down;

b) If TANGEDCO has already recovered the entire dues from any of the petitioners, the bill shall be reworked in accordance with the direction given in Clause (a) and the excess amount shall be adjusted towards the future bills;

c) If the demand made by TANGEDCO has been adjusted from the security deposit and any of the petitioner has been asked to pay any amount towards additional security deposit on that count, the said claim shall be withdrawn forthwith and the calculation of the additional security deposit



WEB COP

shall be independently done under Regulation 5 of the Supply Code and demand/ adjustment shall be done in accordance with the said Regulation;

d) The TANGEDCO shall not levy compensation charges towards low PF from the petitioners during the period of lockdown. Even if such levy is made in future, show cause notice shall be issued to the consumer and an opportunity shall be given to the consumer before levying any compensation under Clause 6.1.1.6 of the Tariff Regulation;

e) If any amount has already been recovered towards levy of compensation charges for low PF from any of the petitioners, the said amount shall be adjusted towards future bills;

f) These directions will apply only for the period during which the establishment was under total lockdown due to the orders issued by the Government and it is made clear that it pertains only to the Minimum Charges payable under Regulation 6(b) of the Supply Code and there is no exemption or concession insofar as the charges payable for the actual consumption of electricity (Energy Charges); and

g) If any of the establishments continue to be under lockdown due to the Government Orders passed in this regard, the minimum charges alone shall be collected till the lifting of the lockdown."

Following the aforesaid order passed by this Court, the respondent Board has issued a Circular in Memo No.CFC/REV/FC/REV/DFC/AO/D.698/20 dated 07.10.2020.

3. Now the respective learned counsel appearing for the petitioners seek similar relief as granted by this Court in W.P.Nos.7678 of 2020 etc., batch dated 14.08.2020. According to the writ petitioners two set of writ petitions are filed viz., one set with regard to demand of CC Bills for the current period and other set, where the petitioners have already paid the CC Bill amount to the respondent Board with protest and seeking refund or adjustment of amount in the future bills.

4. The learned Standing Counsel for the respondents Board would submit that they have preferred a Writ Appeal in W.A.No.836/2020 before this Court and the same is pending without any interim orders.



5. In view of the aforesaid common order passed by this Court in W.P.Nos.7678 of 2020 etc., batch dated 14.08.2020 and the Circular of the Respondents Board dated.7.10.2020, the respondent Board is directed to consider the individual claim of the petitioners by revising the bills, as per the directions issued by this Court in W.P.Nos.7678 of 2020 etc., batch dated 14.08.2020, by taking note of the lockdown notification issued by the State Government from time to time and to take appropriate decision and to communicate the same to the writ petitioners individually, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is also made clear that any decision taken by the respondents Board, will be subject to the outcome of the orders passed in W.A.No.836/2020 filed by the respondent Board.

6. With the above direction, these writ petitions are disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ak  
To

1.The Chairman & Managing Director,  
Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution  
Corporation Ltd. (TANGEDCO)  
10<sup>th</sup> Floor, 144, Anna Salai, Chennai-600002.

2.The Chief Financial Controller/Revenue,  
Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution  
Corporation Ltd. (TANGEDCO)  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor, 144, Anna Salai, Chennai-600002.

3. The Superintending Engineer  
TANGEDCO,  
Krishnagiri Electricity Distribution Circle,  
Krishnagiri

W.P.Nos. 11571 and 11577 of 2021  
and

W.M.P.Nos. 12321 and 12324 of 2021

gmi (CO)  
A.SK(04.08.2021)