

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Ninth day of April Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.8091 of 2021

S.ELANGO VAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY

[RESPONDENT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SULTHANPETTAI POLICE STATION,
COIMBATORE.

CRIME NO. 108/2021.

For Petitioner : M/S.C.GANGAI AMARAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.T.SHUNMUGARAJESWARAN Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.108 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to property dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the de-facto complainant, as a result of which, the petitioner abused the de-facto complainant with filthy language and assaulted him with stone and thereby, he sustained injuries. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the injured has been treated as outpatient. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact the injured has been treated as outpatient, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

29/04/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SULUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
COIMBATORE [FOR INFORMATION].

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SULTHANPETTAI POLICE STATION,
COIMBATORE.


4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.C.GANGAI AMARAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.8091/2021

Date :29/04/2021

MN-29/06/2021



WEB COPY