

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Sixth day of April Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.7860 of 2021

R.VELU @ IMMANUVEL

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AWPS, KATPADI.
CR.NO.35 OF 2021.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. S.DEEPIKA Advocate

For Respondent : MR.T.SHUNMUGARAJESWARAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 323, 498(A) of IPC. in Crime No.35 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner married the defacto complainant. Subsequently the petitioner harassed the defacto complainant by demanding dowry and driven her from the matrimonial home. Hence, the complaint was registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that only due to family dispute the defacto complainant has lodged a false complainant against the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that the the petitioner harassed the defacto complainant by demanding dowry and driven her from the matrimonial home. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the fact that the only due to family dispute the occurrence said to have taken place, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation..

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

26/04/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KATPADI

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VELLORE DISTRICT. (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AWPS, KATPADI.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, CHENNAI-104.

+ 1 CC to M/S. S.DEEPIKA Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR NO.5300

CRL OP.7860/2021

Date :26/04/2021

RG.05.05.2021



WEB COPY