



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 11.08.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P.Nos.6464 & 6465 of 2015
and
M.P.Nos.1 and 1 of 2015

M/s.DSRC Consultants Private Ltd.,
Rep. by its Director/Authorized Signatory,
No.6, Smith Road, Chennai - 600 002.

...Petitioner in both cases

Vs

1. The Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court-II,
Chennai.

2. M/s.Data Software Research
Company Private Ltd.,
Rep. by Chairman and Managing
Director, M.A.Ryan,
No.6, Smith Road,
Chennai - 600 002.

3. H.Krishnamoorthy ...Respondents 1-3 in both cases

4. Mr.G.N.Selvakumar ...4th respondent in W.P.6464/15

4. Mr.E.Ramamoorthy ...4th respondent in W.P.6465/15

5. M/s.Data Computers Private Ltd., (DCPL)
Rep. by its Managing Director,
No.6, Smith Road,
Chennai - 600 002. ...5th Respondent in both cases

Common Prayer: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records of the 1st respondent herein dated 08.11.2013 made in I.A.Nos.70 and 71 of 2013 in I.D.Nos.515 and 516 of 2005 respectively and quash the same.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.Srinath Sridevan
For R1 : Labour Court
For R4 : Mr.D.Senthil Kumar

COMMON ORDER

The learned counsel appearing for the fourth respondents, who claim to be the employees of M/s.Data Software Research Company Private Limited, which is the second respondent herein, would submit that pending the writ petitions, the main industrial dispute itself came to be closed, through an Award dated 19.02.2019. According to the learned counsel for the fourth respondents/employees, the Award had mulcted the liability on the second respondent, namely M/s.Data Software Research Company Private Limited.

2. The petitioner herein is aggrieved against the order passed in the interim applications in I.A.Nos.70 and 71 of 2013, whereby they were impleaded as a party respondent.

3. Since the main industrial disputes itself have now been concluded and also since the liability has not been mulcted on the petitioner, who is the impleaded respondent, the writ petitioner may not have any further grievance. Even otherwise, no effective orders can be passed in the present writ petitions challenging the interim applications when the main industrial dispute itself has concluded.

4. Accordingly, the writ petitions stand dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

hvk

To

1. The Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court-II,
Chennai.

W.P.Nos.6464 & 6465 of 2015 and
M.P.Nos.1 and 1 of 2015

KSM(CO)
RLP(31/08/2021)