

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Ninth day of April Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.7495 of 2021

R.SHANMUGAPRIYA

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY

[RESPONDENT]

INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
TIRUPPUR.
CRIME NO.5 OF 2021.

For Petitioner : M/S.B.THIRUNAVUKKARASU Advocate

For Respondent : MR.T.SHUNMUGARAJESWARAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 408 and 420 of IPC, in Crime No.5 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons had swindled the money from the bank to tune of Rs.89,00,000/- Hence, the complaint was registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and she have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that it is a case of cheating. He would further submit that the petitioner along with other accused persons had swindled the money from the bank. He further submits that A1 had already been arrested and remanded to judicial custody and the custodial interrogation is very much required. He further submits that the investigation is still pending. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the fact that the petitioners have swindled amount to the tune of about Rs.89 Lakhs from the bank and much of the money is yet to be recovered and that A-1 has already been arrested and remanded to judicial custody and also taking note of the fact that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for recovering the swindled money and taking into consideration the fact that investigation is still going on, enlarging the petitioners on anticipatory bail at this point of time, when the offence is serious in nature and there is likelihood of the petitioners indulging in activities which would be prejudicial for investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
29/04/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
TIRUPPUR.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.B.THIRUNAVUKKARASU Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.7495/2021

Date :29/04/2021

MK:30/06/2021

WEB COPY