

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fifteenth day of April Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.5286 of 2021

DANIELRAJ

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE BY

[RESPONDENT]

INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CCB, TEAM IX, VEPERY,
CHENNAI - 600 007.
CR.NO.140 OF 2020.

For Petitioner : M/S. S.JOEL Advocate

For Respondent : M/S. M.PRABHAVATHI,
Additional Public Prosecutor

For Intervenor : M/S. S.SHANKAR, Advocate

PETITION FOR BAIL 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 10.02.2021 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 120(B), 420 of I.P.C, in Crime No.140 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.Totally there are 2 accused in this case and the petitioner herein is A2. The case of the prosecution as per defacto complainant is that one person by name John/A1 introduced the petitioner by stating that he is a close relative of the Chief Minister and they will secure job in Corporation of Chennai. Thereafter, the petitioner and the said John demanded Rs.13,00,000/- to secure a job in Corporation of Chennai and they also received a sum of Rs.13,00,000/- from the defacto complainant. After receiving the said amount the petitioner and the said John neither secured the job nor returned the amount. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that that the main allegation is against A1/John and he has received the amount. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the petitioner along with other accused said to have received a sum of Rs13,00,000/- from the defacto complainant with a false assurance that they will secure Assistant Engineer job in Corporation of Chennai. After receiving the said amount the petitioner and the said John neither secured the job nor returned the amount. He further submits that there are 10 previous cases pending against the petitioner. Hence she vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts of the that there are 10 previews cases pending against the petitioner and also considering the gravity of offence committed by the petitioner this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
15/04/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CCB, TEAM IX,VEPERY, CHENNAI - 600 007.

2. THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3. THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PUZHAL.

CC to M/S. S.JOEL Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.5286/2021

Date :15/04/2021