



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.06.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

CRL.O.P No.14 of 2018
and
Crl.M.P Nos.2 & 3 of 2018

P.Mylswami

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Tiruppur,
Crime No.1 of 2002

.. Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, praying to call for the records in C.C.No.125 of 2017 on the file of the learned learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Udumalpet and quash the same.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S.Jayakumar
For Respondent	: Mr.E.Pratap
	Government Advocate
	(Crl. side)

ORDER

This petition has been filed challenging the proceedings initiated by the respondent against the petitioners under Sections 468, 471, 477 and 409 of IPC.

2. The grounds raised by the counsel for the petitioner are all factual in nature and it requires appreciation of evidence and this Court cannot decide the same in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code. It is left open to the petitioners to raise all the grounds before the Court below and the same shall be considered on its own merits and in accordance with law. This Court is not inclined to interfere with the proceedings pending before the Court below.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners requested this Court to dispense with the presence of the petitioner. Taking into consideration, the facts and circumstances of the case, the presence of the petitioner is dispensed with and they shall be represented by a counsel, who shall cross examine the witnesses on the same day, they are examined in Chief. The petitioner shall be present before the Court below at the time of questioning under Section 313 Cr.P.C and at the time of passing of the final judgement.



4. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of with a direction to the Court below to complete the proceedings in CC No.125 of 2017 within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The trial shall be conducted on a day to day basis in accordance with the guidelines given by Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in Vinod Kumar Vs State of Punjab [2015 (1) MLJ (Crl) 288 SC]. If the petitioner adopts any dilatory tactics, it is open to the trial Court to insist upon the presence of the petitioners and remand him to custody as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH VS. SHAMBHU NATH SINGH (JT 2001 (4) SC 3191). Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rli

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I, Udumalpet.
2. do through The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tiruppur
3. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Tiruppur,
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL.O.P No.14 of 2018
and
Crl.M.P Nos.2 & 3 of 2018

SR-II
K.RK. (23.07.2021)