



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 04.01.2021

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

Cont.P.No.451 of 2020 and
Sub Application(OS) No.238 of 2020

S.Rajasekaran,
Depot Manager,
Pondicherry Agro Service Industries Corporation Ltd.,
Karaikal Branch Office,
Karaikal,
Residing at,
No.12, Keezhatheru,
Selloor,
Thirunallur via,
Karaikkal Taluk-609 607

... Petitioner

Vs

1. Thiru.A.Anbarasu, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Government,
Agriculture / Chairman,
Pondicherry Agro Service and
Industries Corporation Limited,
Chief Secretariat,
Poducherry – 605 001.
2. Thiru.G.Raviprakasam,
Managing Director,
Pondicherry Agro Service and
Industries Corporation Ltd.,
(PASIC), Agro House,
Thattanchavady,
Puducherry – 605 009.



WEB COPY

3. Thiru.B.Ramakritinanan @ Balaganthi,
Director of Agriculture,
Agriculture Department,
Puducherry.

.... Respondents

Prayer: Contempt petition is filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, pleased to initiate contempt proceeding against the respondents for having willfully committed Contempt of this Court order, dated 14.11.2019 in W.P.No.31936 of 2019 and punish the respondent as per the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.M.Gnanasekar
For Respondents	:	Mr.Stalin Abhimanyu (for R1 and R3) Mr.T.P.M.Naveen (for R2)

ORDER

The contempt petition has been filed to initiate Contempt proceedings against the respondents and to punish them for their willful disobedience of the order of this Court dated 14.11.2019 in W.P.No.31936 of 2019 as per the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 .

2. It is stated that the request of the petitioner has been rejected by the 3rd respondent after filing of contempt petition.

3. According to the 3rd respondent, the order has been passed prior to the filing of the contempt petition on 20.03.2020.



WEB.COM follows:

4. This Court on 04.12.2020 while issuing statutory notice observed as follows:

“liberty is granted to the learned Additional / Special government Pleader/Government Advocate to file petition for dispensing with the appearance of the contemnors, along with the compliance report, if the order has been complied with.”

5. Since the order has been complied with, as stated by the learned counsel for the 3rd respondent, no further orders are required in this contempt petition. Hence, the contempt petition is closed with liberty to challenge the order passed against the petitioner. Consequently, connected Sub application is also closed.

SD/-
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR(COMM.CASES)
vum

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2021.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)
from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

GS/19/04/2021