

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 8/3/2021

C O R A M

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

Writ Petition No.5493 of 2021

Dr. Peta Pavan Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
rep. By its Secretary
Department of Health & Family Welfare
Fort St. George
Chennai 600 009.
2. The Director of Medical Education (DME)
Directorate of Medical Education
156 Poonamallee High Road
Kilpauk
Chennai 600 010.
3. The Tamil Nadu Dr.M.G.R.Medical University
rep. By its Registrar
Guindy
Chennai 600 032.
4. The Govt Kilpauk Medical College
rep. By its Registrar
Kilpauk
Chennai 600 010.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of mandamus to direct the respondents to cancel the deed of bond dated 30/5/2015 executed by the petitioner in favour of the fourth respondent and direct the fourth respondent to return the original SSLC certificate to the petitioner, as required in representation, dated 9/2/2021 sent by RPAD and received by the fourth respondent on 12/2/2021, within a time frame.

For petitioner ... Mr.S.Anil Sandeep

For respondents ... Mr.E.Manoharan
Special Government Pleader
for R.R.1, 3 and 4

O R D E R

This writ petition is filed for a mandamus, directing the respondents to cancel the deed of bond, dated 30/5/2015, executed by the petitioner, in favour of the fourth respondent and direct the fourth respondent, to return the original SSLC certificate to the petitioner, as required in representation, dated 9/2/2021, sent by RPAD and received by the fourth respondent, on 12/2/2021, within a time frame.

2. The petitioner, who has completed MBBS degree course, intended to pursue his MS General Surgery Course in the Government Kilpauk Medical College, the fourth respondent herein, in the year 2015. At the time of admission, the petitioner had produced all the original certificates with the fourth respondent College. One of the conditions in the said course is that on successful completion of that course, the candidate is liable to serve Government of Tamil Nadu for a period of two years or else the candidate is liable to pay Rs.40 lakhs, if the candidate failed to serve the Government despite an offer of employment is made. The candidate has to execute a bond with this condition, at the time of admission. The petitioner has successfully completed the Course in May 2018, but the State Government has not provided any employment as per bond, but, at the same time the third respondent has not returned the original certificates even after the bond period of two years.

3. Mr.S. Anil Sandeep, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is suffering without employment for the past two years, due to the arbitrary and discriminatory action of the fourth respondent. The petitioner require the abovesaid certificates and if the certificates are not returned by the fourth respondent, the petitioner may lose the opportunity of getting a job.

4. Mr.E.Manoharan, learned Special Government Pleader took notice for the respondents, 1, 3 and 4 and would submit that as per clause 58 of the Prospectus, it is made clear that in all these Post Graduation Courses, the Government is spending huge money and therefore, this condition of serving for two years in Government is incorporated in the Prospectus itself and the candidates should also execute a bond to that effect that in case if they fail to serve the Government for a period of two years as agreed, then they have to pay a sum of Rs.40 lakhs in lieu of that bond. He would further submit that this clause has also been challenged and the issue has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and therefore as per the above said bond executed by the petitioner, the original certificates of the petitioner had been detained.

5. The learned Special Government Pleader would further submit that only depending upon the requirement and vacancy position, the Government is engaging the services of similarly placed candidates and in many such cases, the candidates have opted to continue the Government Service even after expiry of the bond period of 2 years considering the current pandemic situation and the consequent necessity of their services and therefore, the welfare of the public at large also needs to be taken care.

6. This court paid its attention to the rival submissions made and also perused the available records.

7. The petitioner was admitted for the Course in 2015. He had completed the Course in 2018, but, the petitioner was not offered any employment by the State Government till today.

8. The respondents, without offering any employment for more than two years, are not entitled to retain the certificates of the petitioner by referring the bond executed by the petitioner.

9. The relevant Clause in the Prospectus itself clearly says that "they shall serve the Government of Tamil Nadu for a period of not less than two years, in the posting issued by Government, "if required". The words "if required" contained in the clause dilutes its strength. The clause further insists for reckoning of such service period from the date of completion of the course by the candidate. Therefore, reckoning of such period cannot be an eternal one and the candidate, after having waited for two long years, cannot be compelled to wait for any more number of years on the ground of necessity which may arise at any later point of time by losing all their opportunity.

10. A similar issue was also dealt by this court in W.P.No.16829 of 2020 and by following the order passed by a Division Bench in W.A.No.799 of 2019, this court disposed of the Writ Petitions with a direction to the third respondent to return the original certificates considering the representation of the petitioners.

11. In W.A.No.799 of 2019 and batch, the State of Tamil Nadu and another vs. P.S.Sairam and others, the Division Bench of this court decided the issue as under:-

"103. Our conclusions, therefore, are:

- I.
- II.
- III.
- IV.

V.

VI.

vii. The candidates who have not been offered appointment within the period of two years would be entitled to release of their certificates accordingly. We have, therefore, no option but to set aside the impugned judgment dated 1.10.2018, but with a direction that in the event the period of two years has passed by after the passing out of the candidates without any offer of employment, then, in that event, they are not bound by the terms of the bonds executed by them and are consequently entitled for return of certificates. It is further clarified that this period will have to be sorted out in respect of all the candidates together with the details of the offer of employment made to them. The State Government shall, accordingly, prepare a list of all such candidates within fifteen days from today and notify it with details, including the date of passing out; the status of the offer of employment or otherwise, as well as the date of expiry of two years, in order to avoid any confusion on this count."

12. In view of the above said order and the fact that the petitioner has not been offered any employment for more than two years, this court allows the writ petition, with a direction to the respondents, to return the original certificates of the petitioner, forthwith, on receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

mvs.

To

1. The Secretary
State of Tamil Nadu
Department of Health & Family Welfare
Fort St. George
Chennai 600 009.

WEB COPY

2. The Director of Medical Education (DME)
Directorate of Medical Education
156 Poonamallee High Road
Kilpauk
Chennai 600 010.

3. The Registrar
Tamil Nadu Dr.M.G.R.Medical University
Guindy
Chennai 600 032.

4. The Registrar
Govt Kilpauk Medical College
Kilpauk
Chennai 600 010.

+3cc to Mr.S.Anil Sandeep, Advocate, S.R.No.14405.
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.14832.

Writ Petition No.5493 of 2021

PMK (CO)
CSR 26.03.2021



WEB COPY