

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 03.03.2021

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Cr1.O.P.No.4250 of 2021

S. Ramar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
CCB-II, Vepery, Chennai.
(Crime No.79 of 2020)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.79 of 2020 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Udayakumar

For Respondent : Mrs.M. Prabavathi,
Addl. Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

Totally, there are nine accused and the petitioner is arrayed as A12. The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.12.2020 for the offence punishable under Sections 419, 465, 467, 468 and 109 of I.P.C. in Crime No.79 of 2020, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that it is a property dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, Annadurai has purchased the property in the year 1996. A1 has impersonated himself as Annadurai and sold the property to his wife A7 and thereafter, A7 has sold the property to A8 and A9. So far as this petitioner is concerned, he was the attessor to the sale deed. Hence, he has been implicated as accused in this case, and a criminal case was registered against the petitioner. Accordingly, he was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.12.2020. Now, the present petition has been filed seeking for bail.

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner would submit that the petitioner is only an attessor to the sale deed, apart from that, there is no allegation of impersonation against the petitioner. He would submit that now the similarly placed accused A2 and A4 were arrested and released on bail. He would submit that he has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in jail for more than 70 days. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that all the persons have joined together and A1 has impersonated himself as Annadurai and sold the property to A7, in turn, she has sold the property to A8 and A9. She would also submit that even though they are attestors, they have also played a main role. She would submit that some of the accused were granted bail and no previous bad antecedents against the petitioner. Hence, she opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the fact that the petitioner was the attestor to the sale deed, some of the similarly placed accused A2 and A4 were granted bail, and also considering the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

(a) Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ponneri and on further conditions that:

(b) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(c) the petitioner, on his release from prison, shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(d) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(g) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(h) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

6. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

03/03/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PONNERI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUVALLUR (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CCB-II, VEPERY, CHENNAI.

5 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
SUB JAIL, PONNERI

CC to M/S. R.UDAYAKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges Sr.2655

CRL OP.4250/2021

Date :03/03/2021

RVR 03/03/2021

WEB COPY