

HIGH COURT LEGAL SERVICES COMMITTEE, CHENNAI

National Lok Adalat organised by the High Court Legal Services Committee

Saturday, the 10th day of April, 2021

NATIONAL LOK ADALAT AWARD

(Chapter VI and u/s 21 of Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987)

Presided over by

The Hon'ble Mr.JUSTICE MALAI SUBRAMANIAN (Retd.)

and

Members

Mr.A.MANIVASAKAN

Mr.S.KRISHNAPRASAD

CMA.No.684 of 2020

(Appeal against the Judgment and decree passed on 31.12.2019 in F.C.O.P.No.111 of 2015 on the file of the Family Court, Salem.

M.Yuvaraj

...Appellant

K.Anupriya

...Respondent

This case is taken up for settlement before the National Lok Adalat. Both side parties are present. Ms.Revathi for Mr.R.Nalliyappan, learned counsel for appellant and Mr.S.P.Sathish, learned counsel for the respondent are present. After mutual discussion, negotiation, mediation and conciliation between both parties, they arrived at a compromise to settle the matter as follows:

TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

The Civil Miscellaneous Appeal has been filed praying to set aside the judgment and decree dated 31.12.2019 in F.C.O.P.No.111 of 2015 on the file of Family Court, Salem pending on the file of High Court, Madras has been referred to Lok Adalat for conciliation today. The appellant and his learned counsel are present before Lok Adalat today.

MALAI SUBRAMANIAN,J. (Retd.)


kmi

2. The appellant filed a petition for divorce before the Family Court, Salem and the same was dismissed after enquiry. Aggrieved by the dismissal of the petition, the appellant filed the present appeal.

3. Pending appeal, the parties came up with a compromise and drew a compromise petition duly signed by both of them.

4. The Civil Miscellaneous Appeal stands disposed of as settled in terms of compromise as found in Joint Memo of Compromise filed by both parties. The Joint Memo of compromise shall form part of the order.

5. The full Court fee shall be refunded to the plaintiff in the manner provided under Section 69-A of the Tamil Nadu Court-Fees and Suits Valuation Act, 1955 and the Court Fees Act, 1870 as provided for under sub Sec.1 of Section 21 r/w 25 of LSA Act 1987 as amended in 1994 and there shall be no demand for additional Court fee.



Judge

 Member

M.Yuvaraj

सत्यमेव जयते

Counsel for the Appellant

K.Anupriya

Counsel for the respondent

To:

The parties/Advocate concerned

Copy to:

- 1.The Family Court, Salem.
- 2.The Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, Chennai.
- 4.The Section Officer, V.R.Section, High Court, Madras.
- 5.The Section Officer, Lok Adalat Section, High Court, Madras.+2 copies

kmi