

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.02.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

WP.No.3686 of 2021

Indus Towers Limited,
Rep. by its Authorized Signatory,
Mr.S.Prasanna,
No.5, ESPEE IT Park
5th Floor, Jawaharlal Nehru Road,
Ekkatuthangal, Chennai 600 097. Petitioner

vs.

1. The Commissioner of Plice,
Greater Chennai, Chennai.
2. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Thoraipakkam Range, Chennai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
J-9, Thoraipakkam Police Station, Chennai.
4. The Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation, Chennai.
5. The District Collector,
Chengalpet District.
6. The Tahsildar
Sholinganallur Taluk, Chengalpaet District.

... Respondents

Prayer:-

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents the Respondents 1 to 3 to provide necessary Police Protection to the petitioner for their mobile phone tower works at the premises being about 500 square feet of building at Plot No.1A Chinnappa Naicker Street Okkiyam Thoraipakkam in Survey No.142/7 in Okkiyam Thoraipakkam village chennai 96 on the representation dated 15.7.2020 to the 3rd Respondent under online Referecne No.BAP 20073465/2020 and direct the respondents

4 to 6 to render necessary cooperation to the respondents 1 to 3 in the matter.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Anil Sandeep

For Respondents: Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This petition has been filed for the issue of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 to provide the police protection to the petitioner company to erect transmission cell phone tower and to install all the telecommunication electrical & electronic Equipments, OFC Cable and its maintenance work on the said BTS transmission Tower at the premises being about 500 square feet of building at Plot No.1A Chinnappa Naicker Street Okkiyam Thoraipakkam in Survey No.142/7 in Okkiyam Thoraipakkam Village, Chennai 96, and direct the respondents 4 to 6 to co-operate with respondents 1 to 3 as per the letter dated 15.7.2020 the petitioner undertakes to pay necessary charges.

2.The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is engaged in the business of Operation and Maintenance of Mobile phone towers across India for all the mobile phone operators/service providers providing broadband Internet services and other allied services. For the said purpose, cell phone tower is going to be erected. The petitioner had also entered into a lease agreement with the owner of the property and had taken steps to install the cell phone tower. Already, the Government of Tamil Nadu has granted exemption to all the Telecom companies to install the cell phone towers by virtue of Government Order passed in G.O.Ms.No.2, dated 01.04.2002.

3.When the petitioner attempted to erect the cell phone tower, some people with vested interest claiming to be neighbours have been causing hindrance and preventing the workers from carrying out the construction work. In this regard, the petitioner gave a complaint to the 3rd respondent on 15.7.2020, seeking police protection. However, the 3rd respondent had not acted upon the said complaint and therefore, the present Petition has been filed.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the issue involved in this petition is covered by the earlier Judgments of this Court. The learned counsel brought to the notice of this Court the order passed by this Court in CrI.O.P.No.16618 of 2018 dt.28.06.2018.

5.It will be appropriate to extract the relevant portions of the order in Crl.O.P.No.16618 of 2018, which is extracted hereunder:-

"2.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner's company had the contract agreement for installation of cell phone tower with M/s.Indus Towers Limited, a company registered under the provisions of Indian Companies Act, 1956. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that whenever the petitioner's company attempted to erect transmission cell phone tower, public is causing interference. The learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that by order dated 05.03.2015, the Honourable First Bench of this Court, in W.P.Nos.24976 of 2008 and etc., batch, held that because of the advancement in the science, no one has prevented to erect cell phone towers. It is also submitted that the petitioner has given a complaint dated 24.02.2016 to the first respondent police seeking police protection, for which C.S.R.No.42 of 2016 and since the same has not been considered so far, he has come up with the present petition for the relief stated supra.

3.Heard the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

4.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to send a fresh representation to the respondent and on receipt of the same, the respondent is directed to consider the representation to be submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5.With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of."

6.This Court has consistently taken the view that no one can be prevented from erecting the cell phone towers on a mere apprehension about the effect of radiation from the cell phone tower. The apprehension does not have a scientific backing. Till a positive finding is given in this regard, cell phone towers cannot be prevented to be installed on mere apprehensions.

7.For the reasons stated above, there shall be a direction to the third respondent police to provide police protection to the petitioner for erection of the cell phone tower. The third

respondent police shall ensure that the entire process goes on in a smooth manner, without giving raise to any law and order problem.

8. This writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

jv

To

1. The Commissioner of Plice,
Greater Chennai, Chennai.
2. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Thoraipakkam Range, Chennai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
J-9, Thoraipakkam Police Station, Chennai.
4. The Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation, Chennai.
5. The District Collector,
Chengalpet District.
6. The Tahsildar
Sholinganallur Taluk, Chengalpaet District.
7. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.

+lcc to Mr.S.Anil Sandeep, Advocate, S.R.No.10138

KK(CO)
CS/18/03/2021

WP.No.3686 of 2021

WEB COPY