

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS  
DATED : 23.02.2021  
CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

C.M.A.No.509 of 2016

1.S.Selvi  
2.S.Muthu Pandian  
3.S.Vellammal  
4.S.Sakunthala

..Appellants

Vs.

The Union of India  
Owning Southern Railway,  
Rep.by its General Manager,  
Chennai - 600 003.

..Respondent

Prayer : Civil Miscellaneous Appeal filed under Section 23 of the Railway Claims Tribunal, against the judgment dated 30.04.2015 in O.A.(II.U) 84 of 2014 on the file of Railway Claims Tribunal, Chennai Bench, Chennai.

For Appellants : Mr.A.Baskaran

For Respondent : Mr.C.V.Ramachandramurthy

J U D G M E N T

The order dated 30.04.2015 passed in O.A.(II.U) 84 of 2014 is under challenge in the present Civil Miscellaneous Appeal.

2. The claimants are the appellants and the Claim Petition is filed under Section 16 of the Railways Act on the ground that on 26.01.2014 prior to 16.55 hrs when the deceased was returning from Avadi, while he was travelling in EMU train, which was proceeding towards Chennai, had accidentally fallen down from the running train near Ambattur Railway Station, at KM 16/15-16A nearby up slow line thereby sustained crush head injury, left eye smashed, fractured of right leg below knee fracture of left hand elbow and died at the place of accident.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants mainly contended that the Divisional Railway Managers' [DRM] Report as well as the documents relied on by the Railway authorities and the Tribunal are presumptive and the Railways could not able to establish that it is a case of trespass, in view of the fact that it was not established by the Railways regarding trespass, the claimants are entitled for compensation.

4. The First Information Report registered on 26.01.2014. The First Information Report reveals that the Station Master conveyed through walkie talkie that two dead bodies near up slow line home signal at KM 16/15 were found. However, there was no information stating that the deceased were travelling in any of the train or fallen down from the running train. No ticket was retrieved from the dead body and the appellants also could not able to establish that the deceased was in possession of a valid travel ticket. Thus, the very F.I.R itself is not supportive of the appellants/claimants. If at all, it is a case of fallen down from a train, some co-passengers could have noticed or could have stopped the train and in this case, nothing of that happened. Contrarily, the Station Master through walkie talkie, informed that two dead bodies were found. The DRM Report filed after investigation also reveals that it is a case of trespass and not a fallen down case as stated by the Police in the Final Report. If at all, it is a fallen down case, the traveling public or the people living adjacent to the Railway track would have informed to any Railway Authority. However, no such information was given to any one of the Railway authority. Thus, the Report was filed, holding that it was a case of trespass.

5. This Court is of the considered opinion that even the statement in the F.I.R as well as the Final Report are not corroborating. When the details regarding the accident are not notified and the Station Master merely informed that two dead bodies were found and there was no clear evidence to establish that the deceased was a bonafide passenger traveling in a train and the accident occurred due to falling down from a running train, there is no reason to arrive a conclusion that it is a case of an untoward incident.

6. The Railway Claims Tribunal adjudicated the issues with reference to the documents and the evidences available and the findings in this regard are made clear in Paragraph 6.2 of the order, which reads as under:

"5.2. It is seen from the records that police took up investigation after receipt of the message from the Station Master / ABU based on which FIR as per Exh.A-3 was registered. It is seen from this document that two male bodies one aged about 60 years and another aged about 20 years were lying at Km.16/15 Ambattur yard up slow line as conveyed by motorman of 43122 at 16.55 hours. Inquest was drawn as per Exh.A-4. In the final report as per Exh.A-6, it is recorded by the Police that on 26.01.2014 before 16.55 hours, the deceased while returning from Avadi by an unknown train accidentally fell down at Km.16/15-16A on up slow line near Ambattur Railway station, sustained

injuries and died at the spot and the police have treated the case as accidental death due to fall down. It is pertinent to point out that in the inquest and final reports, it is mentioned that the deceased might have fallen down from a running train prior to 16.55 hours of 26.01.2014 while in the English translation filed by the learned counsel for the applicants, he has stated that the incident happened at 16.55 hours which was the time at which Motorman saw the two dead bodies on the spot in question. The respondent produced and examined G.Maduraiveeran, Head Constable/RPF/Avadi as R.W.1. As can be seen from his evidence, it is clear that he was also not an eye witness to the incident. Thus as can be seen from the records, it is made very clear that there was no eye witness to the alleged incident and lying of the bodies at the spot in question were noticed by the Motorman of 43122 at 16.55 hours."

7. In view of the facts and circumstances, this Court do not find any infirmity or perversity in respect of the decision arrived by the Railway Claims Tribunal and consequently, the order dated 30.04.2015 passed in O.A.(II.U) 84 of 2014 stands confirmed. Accordingly, the Civil Miscellaneous Appeal in C.M.A.No.509 of 2016 is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar(CS IV)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kak

To

1. The Railway Claims Tribunal,  
Chennai Bench, Chennai.

2.The General Manager,  
Southern Railway,  
Chennai - 600 003.

+1cc to Mr.C.V.Ramachandramurthy, Advocate SR.No. 11130  
C.M.A.No.509 of 2016

A.SK(15.04.2021)