



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.08.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

CRL.O.P.No.4431 of 2018  
and CrI.M.P.No.2103 and 2104 of 2018

Giridharan

...Petitioner / Accused No.2

Versus

The Deputy Registrar of Companies,  
2nd Floor, Shastri Bhavan,  
26, Haddows Road,  
Chennai-06

...Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records and quash the proceedings here in E.O.C.C.No.239 of 2017 pending on the file of the Learned Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrare, E.O-II, at Egmore, Chennai till the disposal of the above CrI.O.P.

For Petitioner : Mr. A. Nagarajan

For Respondent: Mr.K. Ramanamoorthy  
Central Government Standing Counsel

#### O R D E R

The petitioner has filed this petition to call for the records and quash the proceedings here in E.O.C.C.No.239 of 2017, pending on the file of the Learned Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrare, E.O-II, at Egmore, Chennai

2. The facts leading to the present round of litigation is that the first accused Company, viz., M/s.Edserv Softsystems Limited, of which A2 and A3 are Directors of the said Company. The petitioner herein who is before this Court is A2. The respondent herein had filed a complaint for non-compliance with Section 92(4) of the Companies Act, 2013 which mandates that the accused Company should have filed the copy of Annual Return for



the year 2014-2015 with the complainant within 60 days from the date of Annual General Meeting. Against the said complaint, the petitioner has come before this Court to quash the same.

3. The case of the petitioner is that before filing of the complaint by the respondent, company petition was filed on 06.02.2013 by India Factoring and Finance Solutions Private Limited, Mumbai for winding up of the company and also for appointment of Official Liquidator to take charge of the affairs of A1 Company and this Court has also passed an order for winding up of the accused Company. While being so, the allegation made in the year 2017 that the petitioner have violated Section 92(4) of the Companies Act, 2013 is wholly unsustainable. In terms of above said provision, the accused Company ought to have filed the copy of Annual Return for the year 2014-2015 with the complainant within 60 days from the date of Annual General Meeting. However, before the above said period, this Court had ordered winding up of the company and appointed Official Liquidator to take charge of the accused Company. Further the respondent stated in the complaint that no reply was received for the Show Cause Notice, which was issued on 24.10.2016 against the Company. It is the case of the petitioner that without having knowledge of the winding up order, the respondent claims to have issued the Show Cause Notice to the accused Company and therefore, the allegation that no reply was made to the show cause notice issued, as levelled against the petitioner is baseless and, hence, prays for quashment of the same.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner reiterated the grounds as raised by the petitioner in the above petition and submitted that the company having already directed to be wound up by appointing Official Liquidator and on the date of the commencement of Act, 2013, the company having already been wound up, the stand of the respondent that there is infraction of the Act and the Rules and that reply has not been given to the show cause notice are wholly misplaced and this petition deserves to be allowed. It is the further submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the particular provision of the Act having come into force in 2013, applies prospectively and not retrospectively and prays for quashment of the complaint.

5. Mr.K.Ramanamoorthy, learned Central Government Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent submitted that as alleged by the petitioner the winding up proceedings have been



WEB COPY

initiated by the order of this Court dated 19.08.2013, the same has not been brought to the knowledge of the respondent complainant and the said official is not aware of the order with respect to the winding up of the Company. Though it is the duty of the petitioner to notify the respondent with regard to the actions taken in the process of winding up, the petitioner has failed to comply with the same and not disclosed any of the actions taken, including the winding up order, appointment of Official Liquidator, even after receiving the said Show Cause Notice dated 24.10.2016. Hence the said complaint was filed in accordance with the procedures prescribed under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Rules and hence prays for dismissal of this petition.

6.This Court gave its anxious consideration to the submissions advanced by the learned counsel on either side and also perused the materials available on record as also the relevant provision of law which is said to have been violated.

7. It is pertinent to note that the particular Act came into effect only on 01.04.2013 and allegation that the accused Company should have filed the copy of Annual Return for the year 2014-2015 with the complainant within 60 days from the date of Annual General Meeting, would not apply to the present case on hand, in view of the fact that the rule applies prospectively and not retrospectively and further prior to the commencement of the said Act, the Company has ceased business activities and winding up proceedings were initiated in Company Petition No.53 of 2013. Therefore, this Court is of the considered view that the present complaint is not justifiable in the eye of law and hence it is liable to be quashed.

8. For the reasons aforesaid, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and quashed the proceedings here in E.O.C.C.No.239 of 2017, pending on the file of the Learned Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrare, E.O-II, at Egmore, Chennai. Consequently connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar



WEB COPY

- To
1. The Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate,  
E.O.II, Egmore, Chennai.
  2. The Deputy Registrar of Companies Tamilnadu,  
2nd Floor, Shastri Bhavan,  
26, Haddows Road,  
Chennai-06
  3. The Public Prosecutor,  
Madras High Court.

+1cc to M/s.K.R.Ramesh Kumar, Advocate, S.R.No.41183

CRL.O.P.No.4431 of 2018

KSM(CO)  
SB(27/09/2021)