



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 14.07.2021

WEB COPY

Coram

The Honourable Mr. Justice R. MAHADEVAN

W.P.No.18668 of 2012

S.Kousalya

...Petitioner

Versus

1.The Senior Divisional Commercial Manager,
Southern Railway,
Park Town,
Chennai - 600 003.

2.R.Jeyakumar

...Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the first respondent to take action against the second respondent departmentally on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 08.06.2012 submitted to the first respondent and pass final order within the time limit that may be prescribed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Gnanasekar

For Respondents : Mr.P.T.Ramkumar for R1
for R2-NA

O R D E R

Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that as prayed by the petitioner, disciplinary action was initiated against the second respondent and punishment was also awarded to him and subsequent to the said punishment, he retired from service and therefore, nothing survives in this petition for further adjudication.



2. In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

mrr
To

The Senior Divisional Commercial Manager,
Southern Railway,
Park Town,
Chennai - 600 003.

+lcc to M/s.P.T.Ramkumar, Advocate Sr.33400
+lcc to M/s.M.Gnanasekar, Advocate Sr.33335

W.P.No.18668 of 2012

gmi[co]
srg 11/08/2021