



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATE: 02.08.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. SUNDAR

W.P.Nos.29450 and 29451 of 2008

W.P.No.29450 of 2008

M.Gandhiraj

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector
(Inspector of Panchayats)
Coimbatore District
Coimbatore
2. The Block Development Officer
(Village Panchayat)
Palladam Panchayat Union
Coimbatore District
3. A.Natarajan
Panchayat President
Karaipudur Village Panchayat
Palladam Block
Coimbatore District

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for Writ of Certiorari to call for the records pertaining to the order bearing Roc.No.432/08/87 dated 08.12.2008 of the second respondent herein and quash the same.

W.P.No.29451 of 2008

K.Prabhu Shankar

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector
(Inspector of Panchayats)
Coimbatore District
Coimbatore



WEB COPY

2. The Block Development Officer
(Village Panchayat)
Palladam Panchayat Union
Coimbatore District

3. N.Somasundaram
Panchayat President
Ganapathipatayam Village Panchayat
Palladam Block
Coimbatore District

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for Writ of Certiorari to call for the records pertaining to the order bearing Roc.No.432/08/87 dated 08.12.2008 of the second respondent herein and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.S.Viswanathan
(In both W.Ps)

For Respondents : Mr.C.Selvaraj
(In both W.Ps) Government Advocate R1 and R2

No appearance for R3

COMMON ORDER

Mr.K.S.Viswanathan, learned counsel on record for writ petitioners in the captioned two writ petitions is before this Virtual Court. Mr.C.Selvaraj, learned State counsel is before this Virtual Court on behalf of Respondents 1 and 2 in both the writ petitions. The third respondent in both the writ petitions is a private respondent. They have entered appearance through a counsel, name of the counsel is shown in the cause list, but there is no representation. However, this is of no significance and does not come in the way of disposal of captioned writ petitions owing to the fair submissions made by learned counsel for writ petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for writ petitioners submits that both captioned writ petitions have been filed assailing orders of suspension, but pending writ petitions, impugned suspension orders were since revoked and writ petitioners are now in



WEB COPY

service. Learned counsel, therefore, submits that captioned two writ petitions have now become infructuous and the same can be disposed of as closed without expressing any opinion or views on the contentions and rights of the writ petitioners.

3. In the light of the narrative thus far, captioned two writ petitions are disposed of as closed (having become infructuous) without expressing any opinion or views and leaving open all the contentions of the writ petitioners. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-LA)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

Gpa

To

1. The District Collector
(Inspector of Panchayats)
Coimbatore District
Coimbatore
2. The Block Development Officer
(Village Panchayat)
Palladam Panchayat Union
Coimbatore District
3. The Panchayat President
Karaipudur Village Panchayat
Palladam Block
Coimbatore District
4. The Panchayat President
Ganapathipatayam Village Panchayat
Palladam Block
Coimbatore District

+1CC to The Government Pleader, SR.No. 37711

W.P.Nos.29450 and 29451 of 2008

PL(CO)

B.VC (26/08/2021)