

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.02.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

CrI.O.P.No.2440 of 2021

Sharuhasan @ Charles

... Petitioner

-Vs-

State Rep. By

... Respondent

The Inspector of Police,  
Adambakkam Police Station,  
Chennai.

(Crime No.36 of 2020)

**Prayer:** Criminal Original petition filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure praying to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.36 of 2020 on the file of the respondent pending investigation.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Shankar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Karthikeyan  
Additional Public Prosecutor

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conference**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 307 & 506(ii) of I.P.C, in Crime No.36 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was a wordy quarrel the petitioner and the de-facto complainant with regards to bike race. Further, the petitioner along with other accused persons waylaid the de-facto complainant and abused in filthy language and assaulted the de-facto complainant and caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequence. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the petitioner was a wordy quarrel the petitioner and the de-facto complainant with regards to bike race. He would further submit that the

petitioner along with other accused persons waylaid the de-facto complainant and abused in filthy language and assaulted the de-facto complainant and caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequence. He would further submit that there are two previous cases pending as against the petitioner and hence he vehemently opposed to grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the petitioner was involved in two previous cases, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

16/02/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
ALANDUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
CHENGALPET [FOR INFORMATION].

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
ADAMBAKKAM POLICE STATION, CHENNAI.

CC to M/S.B.SHANKAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.2440/2021

Date :16/02/2021

EP-03/03/2021