

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.03.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

Cont.P. No.1215 of 2012

1.D.Doraiswamy
2.D.Mohan
both are residing at,
216, Mudaliar Street,
Sadras, Kalpakkam Post 603 102.

.. Petitioners

Vs

1.Hanish Chhabra,
District Collector,
District Collectorate Office at Kancheepuram,
Kancheepuram.

2.M.A.Amir Hussain Jahangir,
Tahsildar,
Thirukalilkundram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

3.M.Ramachandran
Block Development Officer,
Panchayat Union,
Thirukalikundram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

4.N.Sivakumar,
Village Administrative Officer,
Pudupattinam Village,
Kalpakkam Post,
Thirukalikundaram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

5.K.Kaliyaperumal,
President Pudupattinam Village Panchayat,
Kalpakkam 603 102,
Thirukalikundram Taluk.

.. Respondents

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempts of Court Act, to punish the respondents for having committed contempt of orders of this Hon'ble Court passed in W.P. No.5911 of 2006 dated 01.10.2010.

For Petitioner : Mr. T.V.Krishnamachari
For Respondent : Mr. M.Elumalai
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This contempt petition is filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order of this Court dated 01.10.2010 in W.P. No.8911 of 2006.

2.The petitioners earlier filed a Writ Petition in W.P. No.8911 of 2006 for issuance of Writ of Mandamus forbearing the respondents in any way and in any manner interfering with the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment of their private land in Survey No.179/2 measuring an extent of 5 acres in Pudupattinam Village, Chengalpettu Taluk. After recording the respective contentions, this court directed the petitioners to give fresh representation and the District Collector to pass appropriate order within a period of four weeks on receipt of such representation. Stating that the District Collector has not considered the

representation of the petitioners and that no order was passed pursuant to the direction of this Court, this contempt petition is filed.

3. Learned Additional Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, states that the District Collector has already passed an order upon fresh representation submitted by the petitioner. He further stated that the order passed by the District Collector is under challenge before this Court in a separate Writ Petition filed by the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners admits that a Writ Petition in W.P. No.32218 of 2012 is filed before this court challenging the order of the District Collector.

5. Based on the submissions of the learned counsel appearing on either side, reporting compliance, this Contempt Petition is closed.

SD/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR(COMM.CASES)

bkn

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2021.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)

from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

GS/27/04/2021

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

To

1. The District Collector,
District Collectorate Office at Kancheepuram,
Kancheepuram.
2. The Tahsildar,
Thirukalilkundram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.
3. The Block Development Officer,
Panchayat Union,
Thirukalikundram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.
4. The Village Administrative Officer,
Pudupattinam Village,
Kalpakkam Post,
Thirukalikundaram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.
5. The President, Pudupattinam Village Panchayat,
Kalpakkam 603 102,
Thirukalikundram Taluk.

