

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.02.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Cr1.O.P.No.2626 of 2021

1. Deivathal	... Petitioners
2. Velusamy	
3. Ambika	
4. Kannammal	
5. Mary	
6. Murugesan	

-Vs-

State Rep. by	... Respondent
The Inspector of Police,	
Dharapuram Police Station,	
Dharapuram,	
Tiruppur District.	
(Crime No.1867 of 2020)	

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure praying to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest in Crime No.1867 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police, pending investigation.

For Petitioners: Mr.N.Ponraj

For Respondent : Mr.S.Karthikeyan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The case has been heard through video conference

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 448, 294 (b), 506(ii) of IPC registered against two persons based on the complaint, which was subsequently altered into under Sections 448, 294(b), 506(ii), 147, 271 and 291 of IPC in Crime No.1867 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a money dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, as a result of which, the petitioners had entered into the house of the defacto complainant and abused in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint was registered.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the main accused A1 & A2 have been granted anticipatory bail and there is no previous cases pending as against these petitioners. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that there was a money dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, as a result of which, the petitioners had entered into the house of the defacto complainant and abused in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant. He would further submit that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that A1 & A2 have been granted anticipatory bail and there are no previous cases pending as against these petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and that co-accused were granted anticipatory bail and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Dharapuram, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which this petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
16/02/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DHARAPURAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUPPUR [FOR INFORMATION].

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DHARAPURAM POLICE STATION,
DHARAPURAM, TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

CC to M/S.N.PONRAJ Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.2626/2021

Date :16/02/2021

MN-01/03/2021