

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 09.02.2021

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

CrI.O.P.No.2052 of 2021

R.Thirukumaranathan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep. by
Inspector of Police,
Karuveppilankurichi Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
(Crime No.1123 of 2020)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 438 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.1123 of 2020 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Karuveppilankurichi Police Station, Cuddalore District.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.K.Rajendiran

For Respondent : Mr. S.Karthikeyan
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 379 and 430 of I.P.C and Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No.1123 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is said to have transported ¼ unit of river sand illegally by using Tractor, without having valid license and Government permission and thereby, the police had seized the vehicle. Hence, the case has been registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is in no way connected with the alleged offence. He would submit that he has been falsely implicated as accused in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner transported $\frac{1}{4}$ unit of river sand illegally by using Tractor without having valid license and hence, the respondent police had seized the vehicle. He would submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have heard and considered the rival submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for petitioner as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor and perused the records.

6. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances, there is no previous case against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

a) Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Vridhachalam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the respondent/police may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for the interrogation.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
09/02/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.II, VRIDHACHALAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CUDDALORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KARUVEPPILANKURICHI POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.M.SELVAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO.1385

CRL OP.2052/2021

Date :09/02/2021

cs 16/02/2021