

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.02.2021

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

W.P.No.3043 of 2021

M/s.Indus Towers Limited
Represented by its Authorised Signatory
S.Prasanna
No.5, ESPEE, IT Park, 5th Floor,
Jawaharlal Nehru Road, Ekkatuthangal,
Chennai 600 097.Petitioner

-Vs-

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirupattur District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Tirupattur Division, Tirupattur District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Jolarpettai Police Station, Tirupattur District.
4. The District Collector,
Tirupattur District.
5. The Tahsildar,
Tirupattur Taluk, Tirupattur District.
6. The Commissioner,
Jolarpettai Municipality, Tirupattur District.
... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 to provide necessary police protection to the petitioner for their mobile phone tower works at the premises being about 1200 Sq.ft. Of land at S.F.No.108/4C, Thendral Nagar, Elagiri Village, Tirupattur Taluk, Tirupattur District 635 853 on the representation sent by RPAD to the 3rd respondent's station on 27.01.2021 and received by them on 28.01.2021 and direct the

respondents 4 to 6 to render necessary co-operation to the respondents 1 to 3 in the matter.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Anil Sandeep

For Respondents : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This petition has been filed for a direction to the respondents to provide police protection to erect transmission Cell Phone Tower and to install all the telecommunication electrical & electronic equipments, OFC cable and its maintenance work on the said BTS transmission Tower at vacant land situated in S.F.No.108/4C, Thendral Nagar, Elagiri Village, Tirupattur Taluk, Tirupattur District 635 853, the petitioner undertakes to pay necessary charges.

2.The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is engaged in the business of providing broadband Internet services and other allied services throughout India. For the said purpose, cell phone towers are going to be erected. The petitioner had also entered into a lease agreement with the owner of the property and had taken steps to install the cell phone tower. Already, the Government of Tamil Nadu has granted exemption to all the Telecom companies to install the cell phone towers by virtue of Government Order passed in G.O.Ms.No.2, dated 01.04.2002.

3.When the petitioner attempted to erect the cell phone tower, the residents of the area came to the spot and started causing hindrance to the company officials from carrying out the construction work. The petitioner also gave a representation dated 27.01.2021 to the 3rd respondent Police to provide them with protection. However, the 3rd respondent Police had not acted upon the said complaint and therefore, the present Criminal Original Petition has been filed.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the issue involved in this petition is covered by the earlier Judgments of this Court. The learned counsel brought to the notice of this Court the order passed by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.16618 of 2018, wherein the very same petitioner was a party.

5.It will be appropriate to extract the relevant portions of the order in Crl.O.P.No.16618 of 2018, which is extracted hereunder:-

"2.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner's company had the contract agreement for installation of cell phone tower with M/s.Indus Towers Limited, a company registered under the provisions of Indian Companies Act, 1956. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that whenever the petitioner's company attempted to erect transmission cell phone tower, publics are causing interference. The learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that by order dated 05.03.2015, the Honourable First Bench of this Court, in W.P.Nos.24976 of 2008 and etc., batch, held that because of the advancement in the science, no one has prevented to erect cell phone towers. It is also submitted that the petitioner has given a complaint dated 24.02.2016 to the first respondent police seeking police protection, for which C.S.R.No.42 of 2016 and since the same has not been considered so far, he has come up with the present petition for the relief stated supra.

3.Heard the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

4.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to send a fresh representation to the respondent and on receipt of the same, the respondent is directed to consider the representation to be submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5.With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of."

6.This Court has consistently taken the view that no one can be prevented from erecting the cell phone towers on a mere apprehension about the effect of radiation from the cell phone tower. The apprehension does not have a scientific backing. Till a positive finding is given in this regard, cell phone towers cannot be prevented to be installed on mere apprehensions.

7.For the reasons stated above, there shall be a direction to the 3rd respondent police to provide police protection to the petitioner for erection of the cell phone tower. The 3rd respondent police shall ensure that the entire process goes on in a smooth manner, without giving raise to any law and order problem and the petitioner shall pay a cost of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) to the respondent police towards police protection.

8.The Criminal Original Petition is disposed of with the above direction.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rka

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirupattur District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Tirupattur Division, Tirupattur District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Jolarpettai Police Station, Tirupattur District.
4. The District Collector,
Tirupattur District.
5. The Tahsildar,
Tirupattur Taluk, Tirupattur District.
6. The Commissioner,
Jolarpettai Municipality, Tirupattur District.
7. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.Anil Sandeep, Advocate, S.R.No.8301.

Cr1.O.P.No.3043 of 2021

SV(CO)
CSR 12.03.2021

WEB COPY

12.02.2021



WEB COPY