

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15.02.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

CrI.O.P.No.2629 of 2021

A.Manikandan

... Petitioner

-Vs-

The State rep, by its
Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Crime No.39 of 2021)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.39 of 2021 is pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Ravikumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Karthikeyan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The case has been heard through video conference

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 147, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.39 of 2021, on the file of the respondent/Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a money dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. Further, the allegation is that the defacto complainant is working as a Carpenter in the A1 Company and the petitioner had not paid the salary. Thereafter, the petitioner had abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and attacked him and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint was registered.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with this crime and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that A1 to A3 have been arrested and thereafter they were released on bail. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that there was a money dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. Further, the allegation is that the defacto complainant is working as a Carpenter in the A1 Company and the petitioner had

not paid the salary. Thereafter, the petitioner had abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and attacked him and caused injuries. He would further submit that there are three previous cases pending as against the petitioner. He would further submit that co-accused have been arrested and they were released on bail. He would further submit that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. Hence, he vehemently oppose for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case that the co-accused were released on bail and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No-I, Tiruvallur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which this petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

15/02/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.I, TIRUVALLUR

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUVALLUR (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TOWN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVALLUR DISTRICT.

CC to M/S.M.RAVIKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges
sr.1738

CRL OP.2629/2021

Date :15/02/2021

RVR 24/02/2021

WEB COPY