

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.08.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

CRL.O.P No.8042 of 2018

and

Crl.M.P No.4134 of 2018

G.Rajaraman

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Station House Officer,
Orianpet PS,
Puducherry.

2. M.Ramesh Babu

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records relating to the PRC No.7 of 2014 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Puducherry and quash the same.

For Petitioner

: Mr.M.Gnanasekar

For Respondent

: Mr.D.Bharatha Chakravarthy
Additional Public Prosecutor(P)

ORDER

This petition has been filed challenging the proceedings initiated by the respondent against the petitioner under Sections 153(A), 201, 505(c) and 506 of IPC

2. The grounds raised by the counsel for the petitioner are all factual in nature and it requires appreciation of evidence and this Court cannot decide the same in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code. It is left open to the petitioner to raise all the grounds before the Court below and the same shall be considered on its own merits and in accordance with law. This Court is not inclined to interfere with the proceedings pending before the Court below.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner requested this Court to dispense with the presence of the petitioner. Taking into consideration, the facts and circumstances of the case, the presence of the petitioner is dispensed with and they shall be represented by a counsel, who shall cross examine the witnesses on the same day, they are examined in Chief. The petitioner shall be present before the Court below at the time

of questioning under Section 313 Cr.P.C and at the time of passing of the final judgement.

4. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of with a direction to the Court below to complete the proceedings in PRC.No.7 of 2014 as expeditiously as possible. The trial shall be conducted on a day to day basis in accordance with the guidelines given by Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in *Vinod Kumar Vs State of Punjab [2015 (1) MLJ (Crl) 288 SC]*. If the petitioner adopts any dilatory tactics, it is open to the trial Court to insist upon the presence of the petitioner and remand him to custody as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH VS. SHAMBHU NATH SINGH (JT 2001 (4) SC 3191)*. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

WEB COPY

02.08.2021

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
rli

M.DHANDAPANI.J,

rli

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II,
Puducherry.

2. The Station House Officer,
Orianpet PS,
Puducherry.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



CRL.O.P No.8042 of 2018
and
CrI.M.P No.4134 of 2018

02.08.2021

WEB COPY