



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.07.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

S.A. No.1838 of 2003

Balakrishna Naicker

...

Appellant

Vs

1.Nagammal (Deceased)

2.Shyamalavathana

3.R.Hamsadamayanthi

...

Respondents

(R2 & R3 brought on record as
LRs of the deceased sole
respondent vide order of this
court dated 08.12.2014 made in
CMP.No.43 of 2009 in
S.A.No.1838 of 2003

PRAYER: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 C.P.C. against the Judgment and Decree dated 31.01.2003 made in A.S.No.49 of 2001 by the Principal Subordinate Judge, Chengalpattu, confirming the judgment and decree dated 25.03.1997 made in O.S.No.999 of 1994 by the learned District Munsif Tambaram.

For Appellant : Mr.C.Ramesh

For R2 & R3 : Mr.P.Veena Suresh

JUDGMENT

On the last hearing date i.e, on 25.06.2021, this Court recorded that the steps taken by the learned counsel for the Appellant by filing applications to bring on record the legal representatives of the deceased sole Appellant, have been returned by the Registry for certain compliance and hence, the learned counsel for the Appellant sought time to represent the same.

2. Today, the learned counsel for the Appellant has expressed his inability to represent the applications as according to him, he has not been contacted by the legal representatives of the deceased sole Appellant. Since steps have



not been taken to bring on record the legal representatives of the deceased sole Appellant, this second Appeal is dismissed as abated. No costs.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-VII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

nl

To

1.The Principal Subordinate Judge,
Chengalpattu.

2.The District Munsif Tambaram.

Copy to:
The Section Officer,
VR Section, High Court, Madras.

S.A. No.1838 of 2003

LN(CO)
CB(13/08/2021)