



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13.07.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.PONGIAPPAN

S.A.NO.1521 OF 2007

Thangavel

... Appellant

versus

1.Ramasamy
2.Palanisamy
3.Velusamy
4.Kaliammal

... Respondents

PRAYER:

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Civil Procedure Code, against the judgment and decree dated 30.08.2006 made in A.S.No.2 of 1996 on the file of the learned Subordinate Judge, Thiruppur, confirming the judgment and decree dated 26.10.1995 made in O.S.No.62 of 1995 on the file of the learned District Munsif, Palladam.

For Appellant	:	M/s.G.Sumitra
For Respondent No.1&3	:	Mr.K.Govi Ganesan
For Respondent No.2	:	No Appearance
For Respondent No.4	:	Died

J U D G M E N T

Today, when the Second Appeal is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing on either side, are appeared before this Court through Video Conferencing.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the appellant would submit that the dispute pertains to this appeal had already been settled in out of Court. He would further submit that in respect to the settlement, a Memo dated 30.06.2021 has also been filed in the Registry. The said submission is recorded.



3. Hence, in view of the Memo dated 30.06.2021, this Second Appeal is dismissed, as the matter is settled out of Court. However, there is no order as to costs.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sri

To

1. The Subordinate Judge,
Thiruppur.
2. The District Munsif,
Palladam.

+1cc to M/s.G.Sumitra, Advocate, S.R.No.32867

+1cc to Mr.K.Govi Ganesan, Advocate, S.R.No.33017

S.A.No.1521 of 2007

NK(CO)
CS/13/08/2021