



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.07.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.PONGIAPPAN

S.A.No.1012 of 2009  
and M.P.No.1 of 2009

Selvaraj ...Appellant/Plaintiff  
versus

Manipal ...Respondent/Defendant

PRAYER: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Civil Procedure Code, against the judgment and decree dated 26.09.2006 made in A.S.No.2 of 2006 on the file of the learned Principal Subordinate Judge, Tindivanam, confirming the judgment and decree dated 21.11.2005 made in O.S.No.111 of 2002 on the file of the learned Additional District Munsif, Tindivanam.

For Appellants : Mr.S.Kaithamalai Kumaran

For Respondent : No Appearance

#### J U D G M E N T

Today, when the Second Appeal is taken up for hearing, Mr.S.Kaithamalai Kumaran, learned counsel appearing for the appellant is appeared before this Court through Video Conferencing and made submission as the legal representatives of the deceased sole appellant, could not be traced.

2. In view of the same, nothing survives for further adjudication. Hence, this Second Appeal is dismissed as abated. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. However, there is no order as to costs.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sri



To

1. The Principal Subordinate Judge,  
Tindivanam.
2. The Additional District Munsif,  
Tindivanam.

Copy to:

The Section Officer,  
V.R.Section,  
High Court, Madras-104

S.A.No.1012 of 2009  
and M.P.No.1 of 2009

RR (CO)  
K.RK. (03.08.2021)