

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.02.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Cr1.O.P.No.2226 of 2021

1. C.Sarala
 2. M.Indhiragandhi
 3. J.Mohanapriya @ Nalini
- ... Petitioners

-Vs-

State rep by:

The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Taluk Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.99 of 2019)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.99 of 2019 is pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.V.K.Vijayaragavan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Karthikeyan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The case has been heard through video conference

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 448, 294(b), 323, 379 & 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.99 of 2019, on the file of the respondent/Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was some dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, as a result of which, on 20.02.2019 the petitioners had forcefully entered into the defacto complainant's house and attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint was registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they are no way connected with this crime and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioners are the close relatives of the defacto complainant. He would further submit that earlier anticipatory bail application has been filed by the petitioners before this Court in Crl.O.P.No.6444 of 2019 and the same is disposed on 18.03.2019. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending as against the petitioners. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that there was some dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, as a result of which, on 20.02.2019 the petitioners had forcefully entered into the defacto complainant's house and attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. He would further submit that the victim has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending as against the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case that the victim has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending as against the petitioners, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Arakkonam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which this petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
10/02/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARAKKONAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARAKKONAM TALUK POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.M.MANIARAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.No.1484

CRL OP.2226/2021

Date :10/02/2021

cs 22/02/2021